

Police Complaints Information Bulletin: Nottinghamshire



Reporting Period: 01 April 2024 - 31 March 2025 (Q4 2024/25)

Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Bedfordshire, Essex, Hertfordshire, Kent, Lancashire, Leicestershire, Nottinghamshire, South Yorkshire

About this bulletin

This bulletin presents information about complaints defined under the Police Reform Act 2002 (PRA 2002), as amended by the Police and Crime Act 2017. The legislation came into effect on 1 February 2020 (4 January 2021 for the British Transport Police).

It sets out performance against a number of measures and compares force results to their most similar force (MSF) group (where applicable) and with the overall result for all forces (national).

Please note: Unless stated otherwise, tables within the bulletin consist of 'year-to-date' figures covering all matters being started or completed between the two dates.

Q1: 1 April to 30 June, Q2: 1 April to 30 September, Q3: 1 April to 31 December, Q4: 1 April to 31 March

Where charts refer to separate quarters the dates are: Q1: 1 April to 30 June, Q2: 1 July to 30 September, Q3: October to 31 December, Q4 1 January to 31 March.

Contents

Page 1	Section A1.1: Complaints and allegations logged – totals and per 1,000 employees, Section A1.2: Means of handling – reasons a complaint is recorded under Schedule 3
Page 2	Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about
Page 3	Section A1.4: Allegations logged – what has been complained about – top five allegation categories and their subcategories
Page 4	Section A1.5: National complaint factors as a proportion of allegations logged
Page 5	Section A1.6: National complaint factors on the top five allegation categories
Page 6	Section A2: Allegations timeliness
Page 7	Section A3.1: How allegations were finalised and their decisions
Page 8	Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (category)
Page 9	Section B1.1 Allegation actions on allegations handled outside of Schedule 3
Page 10	Section B1.2 Allegation actions on allegations handled under Schedule 3
Page 11	Section C1: Reviews received and timeliness
Page 12	Section C2: Outcomes on reviews
Page 13	Section D1: Complaint cases timeliness on Schedule 3 complaints
Page 14	Section D2: Complaint cases timeliness on Outside Schedule 3 complaints and how complaints are finalised, Section D3: How complaints are handled
Page 15	Section E: Referrals
Page 16	Notes

Acronyms used in this bulletin

YTD – Year to date figures, **SPLY** - Same period last year, **MSF** - Most similar force, **LPB** - Local policing body, **PRA** - Police Reform Act 2002



Nat. – National, **RPRP** – Reflective Practice Review Process, **UPP** – Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure

Section A1.1: Complaint cases and allegations logged

A complaint case is formed of one or more allegations. Numbers per 1,000 employees are used to demonstrate how the number of complaints/allegations against a force compare to their most similar force group and national figures. The force should contact the complainant and log the complaint as soon as possible after the complaint has been raised. Average to log/contact is from the customer perspective.


Note: Number of employees is a fixed number through the period, therefore complaints and allegations per 1,000 employees is higher for the full period (as in the table) than when broken down in to quarters (as per the charts)

Year to date	Complaints logged	Per 1,000 employees	Allegations Logged	Per 1,000 employees	Average working days to contact complainants	Average working days to log complaints
Force	1,708	417	3,324	811	6	7
SPLY	1,548	383	2,632	651	8	6
MSF Average	1,985	385	3,902	745	5	5
National	94,940	373	168,249	660	9	7




Complaints logged per 1,000 employees

Force MSF Average National



Allegations logged per 1,000 employees

Force MSF Average National



For space reasons some figures in the above charts are not shown

Section A1.2: Reason for complaints to be logged under Schedule 3

Allegations should have an allegation category applied to them which will identify the root of what the allegation is about.

Forces can handle a complaint informally (known as Outside of Schedule 3), this should be used to deal with lower level complaints.

If a complaint is handled formally under Schedule 3, the force should record the reason why.

For more information on Schedule 3 of the Police Reform Act see [here](#):

[Guidance on capturing data about police complaints.](#)

Reason complaint case recorded under Schedule 3 (YTD)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
AA/body responsible for initial handling decides	51	49	322	12,831
Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded	44	60	103	6,465
Dissatisfaction after initial handling	236	237	130	5,283
Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint	102	97	248	7,593
Total	433	443	802	32,172

Reason complaint case recorded under Schedule 3 (YTD)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
AA/body responsible for initial handling decides	12 %	11 %	34 %	40 %
Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded	10 %	14 %	14 %	20 %
Dissatisfaction after initial handling	55 %	53 %	21 %	16 %
Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint	24 %	22 %	31 %	24 %


Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about (YTD)

This section presents the three most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and sub-category, where available) is selected for each allegation logged. Total % is of the total number of allegations logged. Allegations where the subcategory is 'none' are omitted from this table.


What has been complained about (YTD)

Year to date	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Force	1,887	678	102	42	33	84	44	394	8	21	29	3,322
SPLY	1,443	487	67	33	25	39	19	440	10	31	37	2,631
MSF Average	2,128	816	118	79	31	127	41	510	9	19	24	3,902
National	91,360	35,834	5,690	3,518	1,766	4,832	1,614	20,481	404	1,031	1,716	168,246

What has been complained about (force - year to date)



What has been complained about (national - year to date)



Section A1.4: Allegations logged – Top five allegation categories and their sub-categories (Year to date)

Category	Year to date Subcategory	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Delivery of duties and service	Total	1,886	57 %	1,442	55 %	2,128	55 %	91,353	54 %
	General level of service	757	40 %	575	40 %	519	23 %	29,691	32 %
	Information	399	21 %	111	8 %	336	17 %	10,515	12 %
	Police action following contact	389	21 %	402	28 %	887	43 %	37,667	41 %
	Decisions	341	18 %	354	25 %	385	18 %	13,479	15 %
	Searches of premises and seizure of property	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Police powers, policies and procedures	Total	678	20 %	486	18 %	816	21 %	35,830	21 %
	Use of force	187	28 %	149	31 %	188	23 %	8,826	25 %
	Power to arrest and detain	138	20 %	98	20 %	147	19 %	6,460	18 %
	Other policies and procedures	95	14 %	52	11 %	70	9 %	3,735	10 %
	Detention in police custody	88	13 %	27	6 %	125	16 %	5,122	14 %
	Searches of premises and seizure of property	68	10 %	64	13 %	114	13 %	4,603	13 %
	Evidential procedures	64	9 %	32	7 %	78	8 %	2,631	7 %
	Bail, identification and interview procedures	19	3 %	16	3 %	49	6 %	2,122	6 %
	Stops, and stop and search	14	2 %	42	9 %	35	4 %	1,790	5 %
	Out of court disposals	5	1 %	6	1 %	12	1 %	540	2 %
	Information	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Individual behaviours	Total	393	12 %	440	17 %	510	13 %	20,480	12 %
	Unprofessional attitude and disrespect	125	32 %	140	32 %	138	27 %	5,808	28 %
	Impolite and intolerant actions	86	22 %	122	28 %	72	15 %	3,098	15 %
	Impolite language / tone	83	21 %	94	21 %	131	26 %	5,352	26 %
	Lack of fairness and impartiality	75	19 %	64	15 %	91	17 %	2,807	14 %
	Overbearing or harassing behaviours	24	6 %	20	5 %	79	15 %	3,415	17 %
Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Total	101	3 %	67	3 %	104	3 %	5,556	3 %
	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	101	99 %	67	100 %	104	84 %	5,555	98 %
	Police action following contact	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Discriminatory behaviour	Total	84	3 %	39	1 %	127	3 %	4,832	3 %
	Race	32	38 %	19	49 %	58	45 %	2,335	48 %
	Disability	20	24 %	11	28 %	24	20 %	911	19 %
	Sex	14	17 %	4	10 %	22	17 %	769	16 %
	Other	10	12 %	3	8 %	13	10 %	421	9 %
	Sexual orientation	3	4 %	0	0 %	4	3 %	134	3 %
	Gender reassignment	2	2 %	0	0 %	2	1 %	56	1 %
	Religion or belief	2	2 %	2	5 %	3	2 %	127	3 %
	Age	1	1 %	0	0 %	2	1 %	73	2 %
	Marriage and civil partnership	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	2	0 %
	Pregnancy and maternity	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	4	0 %

This section presents the three most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and sub-category, where available) is selected for each allegation logged.

Total % is of the total number of allegations logged. Allegations where the subcategory is 'none' are omitted from this table.

Section A1.5: National complaint factors

Year to date Factors on all allegations	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged
Investigation	1,296	39 %	1,089	41 %	1,567	40 %	65,409	39 %
None	509	15 %	498	19 %	800	20 %	31,766	19 %
Arrest	456	14 %	358	14 %	456	12 %	21,786	13 %
Custody	204	6 %	83	3 %	216	6 %	9,989	6 %
Neighbourhood policing	174	5 %	116	4 %	116	3 %	7,856	5 %
Roads/traffic	152	5 %	138	5 %	178	4 %	10,386	6 %
Call Handling	135	4 %	73	3 %	173	4 %	7,140	4 %
Premises search	79	2 %	61	2 %	102	3 %	4,308	3 %
Domestic / gender abuse	63	2 %	34	1 %	142	4 %	9,507	6 %
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	57	2 %	24	1 %	114	3 %	7,183	4 %
Mental health	51	2 %	56	2 %	100	3 %	5,164	3 %
Serious injury	40	1 %	7	0 %	8	0 %	346	0 %
Stop and/or search	35	1 %	52	2 %	68	2 %	3,755	2 %
Hate Crime	28	1 %	13	0 %	22	1 %	942	1 %
Child protection / CSA / CSE	16	0 %	25	1 %	55	1 %	3,021	2 %
Drugs / alcohol	16	0 %	13	0 %	33	1 %	2,046	1 %
Death	12	0 %	29	1 %	30	1 %	1,585	1 %
Public order incident	12	0 %	20	1 %	17	0 %	1,327	1 %
Social media	12	0 %	7	0 %	13	0 %	720	0 %
Missing persons	11	0 %	24	1 %	19	0 %	1,077	1 %
Restraint equipment	10	0 %	6	0 %	30	1 %	1,866	1 %
Firearms	9	0 %	19	1 %	15	0 %	742	0 %
Covert policing	5	0 %	1	0 %	3	0 %	86	0 %
Fraud	4	0 %	6	0 %	9	0 %	1,113	1 %
VAWG - police perpetrated	4	0 %	28	1 %	26	1 %	1,085	1 %
Taser	2	0 %	2	0 %	3	0 %	196	0 %
Police dogs or horses	1	0 %	1	0 %	2	0 %	102	0 %
PPDA	1	0 %	4	0 %	4	0 %	65	0 %
Unknown	1	0 %	1	0 %	1	0 %	28	0 %
VAWG - police victim	1	0 %	1	0 %	5	0 %	141	0 %
Coronavirus - other	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Coronavirus - police powers on infectiou	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Coronavirus - police powers on restricti	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
PPDA - Police victim	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	4	0 %
VAWG' - dissatisfaction handling	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	19	0 %
VAWG' - police victim	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	24	0 %

This section presents information that shows the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category and the percentages here will add up to over 100%. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for definitions of categories and factors.

Section A1.6: National complaint factors on top five allegation categories

Factors on top five allegation categories (Year to date)	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Discriminatory behaviour	Individual behaviours
▼					
VAWG - police victim	0	1	0	0	0
VAWG - police perpetrated	0	3	0	0	0
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	45	5	0	2	4
Unknown	1	0	0	0	0
Taser	0	2	0	0	0
Stop and/or search	4	20	2	2	6
Social media	8	2	0	1	0
Serious injury	33	5	1	0	1
Roads/traffic	65	26	5	8	24
Restraint equipment	0	9	0	1	0
Public order incident	3	7	0	0	2
Premises search	6	39	25	2	5
PPDA	0	0	0	1	0
Police dogs or horses	1	0	0	0	0
None	287	48	25	14	76
Neighbourhood policing	116	15	0	5	34
Missing persons	7	2	0	0	2
Mental health	32	10	1	4	3
Investigation	959	114	29	22	117
Hate Crime	20	2	0	4	1
Fraud	4	0	0	0	0
Firearms	4	2	0	0	3
Drugs / alcohol	9	5	1	0	1
Domestic / gender abuse	38	6	0	8	8
Death	8	0	2	0	1
Custody	60	110	6	4	19
Covert policing	0	5	0	0	0
Child protection / CSA / CSE	11	2	0	0	2
Call Handling	102	2	0	2	28
Arrest	111	253	8	6	63
Total	1,842	650	102	82	387

This section presents information that shows what people are complaining about using a combination of allegation categories and factors against the police force.

Categories capture the root of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. Factors capture the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint.

The combination of categories and factors provides a richer picture of what people are complaining about compared to the categories alone.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for definitions of categories and factors.

The table below shows a breakdown of allegations logged with the focus national complaint factors.

IOPC Police Data Year Quarter	VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	VAWG - police perpetrated	VAWG - police victim	Total
▼				
Q4 23/24	10	0	0	10
Q1 24/25	7	3	0	10
Q2 24/25	23	1	0	24
Q3 24/25	17	0	1	18
Q4 24/25	10	0	0	10
Total	67	4	1	72


Section A2: Allegations timeliness

This section presents the time it takes the force to finalise allegations by how they were handled. It gives a breakdown of allegations handled informally outside of Schedule 3 and those that were handled formally by either by investigation or handled other than by investigation. Timeliness is calculated from the date the allegation was received by the force to the day the complainant is informed of the allegation decision.



Independent investigation figures do not include conduct or death/serious injury investigations which are also investigated by the IOPC.

Allegations with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the [IOPC website](#) for an explanation of invalid dates.

Year to date	Outside of Schedule 3		Under Schedule 3 - not subject to investigation		Under Schedule 3 - by local investigation		Under Schedule 3 - by independent investigation	
Allegations	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days
Force	1,661	29	1,149	92	375	219	11	336
SPLY	1,406	16	890	95	313	168	1	738
MSF Average	1,374	21	1,765	107	456	210	7	192
National	71,979	20	73,237	109	17,701	220	348	380



Year to date	Under Schedule 3 - by directed investigation	
Allegations	Number Finalised	Average days
Force	0	0
SPLY	0	0
MSF Average	0	130
National	23	618



Section A3.1: How allegations were handled and their decisions


This section presents a breakdown of how allegations were handled information and the decisions being given. An allegation decision is logged for each allegation finalised.

The allegation decision reflects how the complaint case has been handled, with different decisions available for the different means of handling. Both the allegation decisions and the subsequent actions available will depend on two things: firstly, whether the complaint case has been handled outside or under Schedule 3; and secondly, the means of handling where it has been dealt with under Schedule 3.

Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of allegation decisions.

How allegations were handled (Year to date)	Force No.	Force %	MSF Average No.	MSF Average %	National No.	National %
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	344	11 %	431	11 %	16,001	10 %
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	42	1 %	32	1 %	2,071	1 %
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	1,149	36 %	1765	47 %	73,237	45 %
Outside of Schedule 3	1,661	52 %	1374	41 %	71,979	44 %
Total	3,196	100 %	3602	100 %	163,288	100 %

Force: percent of allegations finalised by handling method



How allegations were handled (Year to date)	Outside of Schedule 3				Under Schedule 3 - not investigated				Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)				Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)			
Allegation decision	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %
No further action					35	3 %	5,604	8 %			26	1 %	10	3 %	503	3 %
Regulation 41 applies							107	0 %	1	2 %	2	0 %			192	1 %
Service provided - unable to determine					109	9 %	6,698	9 %			38	2 %	25	7 %	1,499	9 %
Service provided - not acceptable					171	15 %	9,844	13 %	2	5 %	79	4 %	35	10 %	1,931	12 %
Service provided - acceptable					794	69 %	48,901	67 %	5	12 %	338	16 %	263	76 %	11,450	72 %
Not Resolved	36	2 %	3,637	5 %												
Resolved	1625	98 %	68,336	95 %												
No Case to Answer									18	43 %	1,081	52 %				
Case to Answer									16	38 %	454	22 %				
Withdrawal					40	3 %	2,080	3 %			52	3 %	11	3 %	426	3 %


Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (Year to date by category)

This section presents information about allegations handled both informally and formally, grouped by the allegation decision and the category selected on each allegation. Each allegation has a single category selected.

Outside Schedule 3 allegation decisions

Allegation decisions	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Resolved	1,086	201	64	18	25	20	11	181	1	7	11	1,625
Not Resolved	25	4	0	0	1	0	0	6	0	0	0	36

Schedule 3 allegation decisions



Section B1.1: Allegation actions - on allegations handled outside of Schedule 3 (Year to date)

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of actions available.

Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

. Actions following outside of Schedule 3 complaint cases ^	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Organisational learning	10	1 %	2	0 %	19	1 %	272	0 %
Learning from reflection	76	5 %	13	1 %	127	8 %	1,991	3 %
Policy review	1	0 %	0	0 %	3	0 %	59	0 %
Goodwill gesture	2	0 %	0	0 %	4	0 %	114	0 %
Apology	173	10 %	26	2 %	121	8 %	6,555	9 %
Debrief	29	2 %	4	0 %	18	1 %	545	1 %
Explanation	1,093	66 %	841	60 %	797	61 %	45,379	63 %
No further action	114	7 %	470	33 %	180	12 %	8,079	11 %
Other action	152	9 %	23	2 %	87	6 %	8,339	12 %

Section B1.2: Allegation actions - on allegations handled under Schedule 3 (Year to date)

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of actions available. Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

All complaint cases handled under Schedule 3

. Actions following Schedule 3 complaint cases	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Organisational learning	16	1 %	18	1 %	19	1 %	813	1 %
Apology	43	3 %	21	2 %	100	3 %	3,493	4 %
Debrief	1	0 %	0	0 %	3	0 %	2,874	3 %
Explanation	660	43 %	221	18 %	1,221	60 %	56,328	62 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	0	0 %	2	0 %	1	0 %	29	0 %
No further action	581	38 %	690	57 %	643	25 %	19,619	21 %
Other action	54	4 %	14	1 %	71	2 %	921	1 %
Learning from reflection	124	8 %	134	11 %	125	6 %	5,009	5 %
Referral to RPRP	12	1 %	25	2 %	18	1 %	1,426	2 %

Misconduct, UPP and RPRP on complaints investigated under Schedule 3 (subject to Special Procedures)


Complaint cases handled under Schedule 3 that are investigated and subject to Special Procedures can result in misconduct proceedings

. Actions following Schedule 3 (special procedures) cases	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Misconduct proceedings	9	21 %	31	37 %	4	13 %	218	11 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	0	0 %	2	2 %	1	3 %	11	1 %
Other actions following a case to answer decision	15	36 %	4	5 %	4	10 %	139	7 %
Referral to RPRP	1	2 %	5	6 %	5	19 %	354	17 %


Section C1: Reviews received and timeliness (Year to date)

This section presents data on the number of reviews received about this force by the IOPC and by the local policing body. The charts enable you to see the proportion of each review type being received by the two bodies. For information on when the IOPC should be the review body, please see our [Statutory Guidance](#)

Non-investigation reviews received	LPB	IOPC
Force	124	6
SPLY	107	2
MSF Average	108	24
National	3,938	1,481




Investigation reviews received	LPB	IOPC
Force	8	14
SPLY	5	7
MSF Average	3	22
National	309	947



Complaints are not finalised until after any reviews are completed. However, this measure helps to provide an indication of how often reviews are made in comparison to the number of complaints completed.

	Reviews received	Schedule 3 complaints finalised
Force	152	447
SPLY	121	468
MSF Average	156	761
National	6,675	31,687



	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Average number of working days to complete Local Policing Body reviews	12	13	52	48
Average number of working days to complete IOPC reviews	159	204	157	148


Section C2: Outcomes on reviews

This section presents information about the decisions made on reviews including the proportion of reviews that found the outcome of the complaint was not reasonable and proportionate leading to the review being upheld.

Investigation reviews (YTD)	Completed investigation (IOPC)	Upheld investigation (IOPC)	Completed investigation (LPB)	Upheld investigation (LPB)
Force	10	2	8	2
SPLY	12	2	3	
MSF Average	23	6	1	2
National	903	272	284	81

% IOPC reviews upheld - Force


Investigation Non-investigation



Non-investigation reviews (YTD)	Completed non-investigation (IOPC)	Upheld non-investigation (IOPC)	Completed non-investigation (LPB)	Upheld non-investigation (LPB)
Force	4	2	114	21
SPLY	2	1	98	22
MSF Average	22	6	102	18
National	1,112	330	3,747	802

% LPB Reviews upheld - Force

Investigation Non-investigation




Section D1: Complaint cases finalised under Schedule 3 - timeliness

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled formally under Schedule 3. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made. On cases under Schedule 3, the below figures include the time a case may spend suspended due to criminal matters.



Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the [IOPC website](#) performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates. For more information on the proportion of complaints handled under each method (along with outside if Schedule 3) please see page 14

Average working days to finalise (Year to date)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	294	194	319	329
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	219	164	267	234
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	108	111	129	124
Total	128	123	148	146

Number finalised (Year to date)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	375	374	646	25,876
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	55	75	103	5,122
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	17	19	12	689
Total	447	468	761	31,687




Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Bedfordshire, Essex, Hertfordshire, Kent, Lancashire, Leicestershire, Nottinghamshire, South Yorkshire



Section D2: Complaint cases finalised outside of Schedule 3 - timeliness

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled handled formally under Schedule 3. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made. On cases under Schedule 3, the below figures include the time a case may spend suspended due to criminal matters.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the [IOPC website](#) performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates.




Section D3: How complaint cases handled

This section shows the proportion of complaint cases handled by the force, most similar for group and nationally under each method of handling. The table covers data recorded in the period as per 'Reporting Period' above, while the below chart concerns the force only using the last four quarters of available data.

.	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised
Outside of Schedule 3	1,224	73%	1,045	69%	1,157	60%	60,061	65%
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	375	22%	374	25%	646	34%	25,876	28%
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	55	3%	75	5%	103	5%	5,124	6%
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	17	1%	19	1%	12	1%	689	1%
Total	1,671	100%	1,513	100%	1,918	100%	91,750	100%

Force: percent of complaint cases finalised by handling method



Section E: Referrals

The figures presented in this section include all referrals that the force makes to the IOPC (received), not just those arising from complaints.

When the IOPC receives a referral from the force, it reviews the information they have provided. The IOPC decides whether the matter requires an investigation, and the type of investigation.

Referrals may have been completed in a different period to when they were received.


Where a referral is made by the force on a mandatory basis but does not meet the mandatory referral criteria, the matter may not fall within the IOPC’s remit to assess and will be determined invalid.

The sum of decisions may not match the number of referrals completed. This is because some matters referred may have come to the attention of the appropriate authority before 1 February 2020 and have investigation type decisions of either managed or supervised.

Year to date

	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Number referrals received	155	128	149	6,713
Number referrals completed	157	126	150	6,786
Decision: Independent Investigation	9	9	7	351
Decision: Directed Investigation	0	0	1	30
Decision: Local Investigation	93	79	77	3,629
Decision: Return to Force	49	34	62	2,634
Decision: Invalid	6	4	3	141

Force and MSF Group referrals received



Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Bedfordshire, Essex, Hertfordshire, Kent, Lancashire, Leicestershire, Nottinghamshire, South Yorkshire

Notes

Data sources

- Data in this bulletin is taken from XML data submissions made by forces to the IOPC every quarter except for IOPC performance data which is taken from the IOPC case management system.
- Police force employee numbers are taken from the latest [police workforce England and Wales statistics](#) published by the Home Office.
- The most similar force (MSF) groups used for the calculation of the MSF averages in this bulletin are those determined by HMICFRS. Please note that the British Transport Police and City of London Police do not have an MSF group. A list of the MSF groups is available on the [IOPC website](#).
- Figures for City of London include complaint cases logged in relation to ‘Action Fraud’. Action Fraud is the UK’s national reporting centre for fraud and cybercrime. The service is run by the City of London Police, which is the national policing lead for economic crime.

Performance Framework counting rules and calculation

- The counting rules and calculations used to produce the data shown in this bulletin can be found on the [IOPC website](#).
- Average times are presented in working days and do not include weekends or bank holidays.
- Some percentages may add up to more or less than 100% due to rounding.
- Complaint cases and allegations with invalid start/end dates have been removed from average time calculations. Therefore, the numbers of complaint cases and allegations used in the average time calculations may be lower than the total number of complaint cases and allegations logged or finalised.

Data constraints

- The data is sourced from live case management systems and provides a snapshot of information as it was at that time. Therefore, there may be variances between the information in this bulletin and information reported at a later date.

Further Information

- A glossary providing a full list of definitions used in this bulletin, can be found on the [IOPC website](#).
- Additional information about the recording of police complaints, including the definitions of the complaint categories, can be found in appendix A of the IOPC’s [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#).
- Information about how the police complaints system operates, who can complain and how reviews are dealt with can be found in the IOPC’s [Statutory Guidance on the police complaints system \(February 2020\)](#).