


Police Complaints Information Bulletin: Lancashire



Reporting Period: 01 April 2024 - 31 March 2025 (Q4 2024/25)

Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Humberside, Kent, Lancashire, Northumbria, Nottinghamshire, South Wales, South Yorkshire, West Yorkshire

About this bulletin

This bulletin presents information about complaints defined under the Police Reform Act 2002 (PRA 2002), as amended by the Police and Crime Act 2017. The legislation came into effect on 1 February 2020 (4 January 2021 for the British Transport Police).

It sets out performance against a number of measures and compares force results to their most similar force (MSF) group (where applicable) and with the overall result for all forces (national).

Please note: Unless stated otherwise, tables within the bulletin consist of 'year-to-date' figures covering all matters being started or completed between the two dates.

Q1: 1 April to 30 June, Q2: 1 April to 30 September, Q3: 1 April to 31 December, Q4: 1 April to 31 March

Where charts refer to separate quarters the dates are: Q1: 1 April to 30 June, Q2: 1 July to 30 September, Q3: October to 31 December, Q4 1 January to 31 March.

Contents

Page 1	Section A1.1: Complaints and allegations logged – totals and per 1,000 employees, Section A1.2: Means of handling – reasons a complaint is recorded under Schedule 3
Page 2	Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about
Page 3	Section A1.4: Allegations logged – what has been complained about – top five allegation categories and their subcategories
Page 4	Section A1.5: National complaint factors as a proportion of allegations logged
Page 5	Section A1.6: National complaint factors on the top five allegation categories
Page 6	Section A2: Allegations timeliness
Page 7	Section A3.1: How allegations were finalised and their decisions
Page 8	Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (category)
Page 9	Section B1.1 Allegation actions on allegations handled outside of Schedule 3
Page 10	Section B1.2 Allegation actions on allegations handled under Schedule 3
Page 11	Section C1: Reviews received and timeliness
Page 12	Section C2: Outcomes on reviews
Page 13	Section D1: Complaint cases timeliness on Schedule 3 complaints
Page 14	Section D2: Complaint cases timeliness on Outside Schedule 3 complaints and how complaints are finalised, Section D3: How complaints are handled
Page 15	Section E: Referrals
Page 16	Notes

Acronyms used in this bulletin

YTD – Year to date figures, **SPLY** - Same period last year, **MSF** - Most similar force, **LPB** - Local policing body, **PRA** - Police Reform Act 2002



Nat. – National, **RPRP** – Reflective Practice Review Process, **UPP** – Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure

Section A1.1: Complaint cases and allegations logged


A complaint case is formed of one or more allegations. Numbers per 1,000 employees are used to demonstrate how the number of complaints/allegations against a force compare to their most similar force group and national figures. The force should contact the complainant and log the complaint as soon as possible after the complaint has been raised. Average to log/contact is from the customer perspective.

Note: Number of employees is a fixed number through the period, therefore complaints and allegations per 1,000 employees is higher for the full period (as in the table) than when broken down in to quarters (as per the charts)


Year to date	Complaints logged	Per 1,000 employees	Allegations Logged	Per 1,000 employees	Average working days to contact complainants	Average working days to log complaints
Force	1,998	318	4,445	707	3	0
SPLY	1,893	305	4,067	655	9	1
MSF Average	2,535	405	4,627	760	7	7
National	94,940	373	168,249	660	9	7



Complaints logged per 1,000 employees



Allegations logged per 1,000 employees



For space reasons some figures in the above charts are not shown

Section A1.2: Reason for complaints to be logged under Schedule 3

Allegations should have an allegation category applied to them which will identify the root of what the allegation is about.

Forces can handle a complaint informally (known as Outside of Schedule 3), this should be used to deal with lower level complaints.

If a complaint is handled formally under Schedule 3, the force should record the reason why.

For more information on Schedule 3 of the Police Reform Act see [here](#):

[Guidance on capturing data about police complaints.](#)

Reason complaint case recorded under Schedule 3 (YTD)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
AA/body responsible for initial handling decides	135	86	172	12,831
Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded	268	197	334	6,465
Dissatisfaction after initial handling	48	73	138	5,283
Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint	201	306	316	7,593
Total	652	662	960	32,172

Reason complaint case recorded under Schedule 3 (YTD)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
AA/body responsible for initial handling decides	21 %	13 %	21 %	40 %
Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded	41 %	30 %	26 %	20 %
Dissatisfaction after initial handling	7 %	11 %	18 %	16 %
Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint	31 %	46 %	34 %	24 %


Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about (YTD)

This section presents the three most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and sub-category, where available) is selected for each allegation logged. Total % is of the total number of allegations logged. Allegations where the subcategory is 'none' are omitted from this table.


What has been complained about (YTD)

Year to date	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Force	2,373	1,069	115	116	35	122	55	468	10	17	65	4,445
SPLY	2,224	1,003	75	84	43	112	39	411	8	14	54	4,067
MSF Average	2,443	972	146	120	46	143	57	597	10	25	68	4,627
National	91,360	35,834	5,690	3,518	1,766	4,832	1,614	20,481	404	1,031	1,716	168,246

What has been complained about (force - year to date)



What has been complained about (national - year to date)



Section A1.4: Allegations logged – Top five allegation categories and their sub-categories (Year to date)

Category	Year to date Subcategory	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Delivery of duties and service	Total	2,373	53 %	2,224	55 %	2,443	54 %	91,353	54 %
	Police action following contact	853	36 %	954	43 %	795	32 %	37,667	41 %
	General level of service	727	31 %	536	24 %	927	38 %	29,691	32 %
	Decisions	489	21 %	479	22 %	463	18 %	13,479	15 %
	Information	304	13 %	255	11 %	259	11 %	10,515	12 %
	Searches of premises and seizure of property	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Police powers, policies and procedures	Total	1,069	24 %	1,003	25 %	972	21 %	35,830	21 %
	Use of force	282	26 %	251	25 %	258	26 %	8,826	25 %
	Power to arrest and detain	194	18 %	176	18 %	158	17 %	6,460	18 %
	Detention in police custody	158	15 %	207	21 %	149	15 %	5,122	14 %
	Searches of premises and seizure of property	128	12 %	137	14 %	143	15 %	4,603	13 %
	Other policies and procedures	104	10 %	77	8 %	83	9 %	3,735	10 %
	Evidential procedures	81	8 %	51	5 %	87	8 %	2,631	7 %
	Bail, identification and interview procedures	53	5 %	48	5 %	48	5 %	2,122	6 %
	Stops, and stop and search	50	5 %	38	4 %	36	4 %	1,790	5 %
	Out of court disposals	19	2 %	18	2 %	12	1 %	540	2 %
	Information	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Individual behaviours	Total	468	11 %	411	10 %	597	12 %	20,480	12 %
	Impolite language / tone	155	33 %	173	42 %	143	25 %	5,352	26 %
	Lack of fairness and impartiality	97	21 %	57	14 %	82	14 %	2,807	14 %
	Unprofessional attitude and disrespect	88	19 %	101	25 %	167	30 %	5,808	28 %
	Impolite and intolerant actions	72	15 %	35	9 %	118	18 %	3,098	15 %
	Overbearing or harassing behaviours	56	12 %	45	11 %	86	12 %	3,415	17 %
Discriminatory behaviour	Total	122	3 %	112	3 %	143	3 %	4,832	3 %
	Race	53	43 %	52	46 %	61	43 %	2,335	48 %
	Disability	34	28 %	25	22 %	27	19 %	911	19 %
	Sex	19	16 %	9	8 %	28	16 %	769	16 %
	Other	8	7 %	15	13 %	16	12 %	421	9 %
	Religion or belief	3	2 %	5	4 %	3	2 %	127	3 %
	Sexual orientation	3	2 %	3	3 %	4	3 %	134	3 %
	Age	1	1 %	0	0 %	1	2 %	73	2 %
	Gender reassignment	1	1 %	3	3 %	2	2 %	56	1 %
	Marriage and civil partnership	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	2	0 %
	Pregnancy and maternity	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	4	0 %
Access and/or disclosure of information	Total	116	3 %	84	2 %	120	3 %	3,518	2 %
	Disclosure of information	68	59 %	68	81 %	72	63 %	2,349	67 %
	Handling of information	29	25 %	12	14 %	36	25 %	789	22 %
	Use of police systems	10	9 %	2	2 %	8	8 %	245	7 %
	Accessing and handling of information from other sources	9	8 %	2	2 %	4	4 %	133	4 %
	Decisions	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
	Information	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	2	0 %

This section presents the three most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and sub-category, where available) is selected for each allegation logged.

Total % is of the total number of allegations logged. Allegations where the subcategory is 'none' are omitted from this table.

Section A1.5: National complaint factors

Year to date Factors on all allegations	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged
Investigation	1,584	36 %	1,617	40 %	1,798	39 %	65,409	39 %
Arrest	679	15 %	598	15 %	653	14 %	21,786	13 %
None	580	13 %	497	12 %	850	18 %	31,766	19 %
Roads/traffic	355	8 %	363	9 %	260	6 %	10,386	6 %
Custody	315	7 %	343	8 %	292	6 %	9,989	6 %
Mental health	248	6 %	175	4 %	168	3 %	5,164	3 %
Neighbourhood policing	220	5 %	241	6 %	194	4 %	7,856	5 %
Call Handling	153	3 %	138	3 %	199	4 %	7,140	4 %
Domestic / gender abuse	148	3 %	243	6 %	248	5 %	9,507	6 %
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	129	3 %	119	3 %	180	4 %	7,183	4 %
Child protection / CSA / CSE	115	3 %	91	2 %	83	2 %	3,021	2 %
Premises search	92	2 %	119	3 %	119	3 %	4,308	3 %
Drugs / alcohol	77	2 %	99	2 %	83	2 %	2,046	1 %
Death	70	2 %	45	1 %	52	1 %	1,585	1 %
Stop and/or search	64	1 %	61	1 %	66	1 %	3,755	2 %
Public order incident	52	1 %	32	1 %	47	1 %	1,327	1 %
Missing persons	34	1 %	25	1 %	36	1 %	1,077	1 %
Restraint equipment	22	0 %	15	0 %	40	1 %	1,866	1 %
Hate Crime	15	0 %	13	0 %	33	1 %	942	1 %
Fraud	13	0 %	15	0 %	20	0 %	1,113	1 %
Social media	11	0 %	11	0 %	26	1 %	720	0 %
Firearms	7	0 %	8	0 %	14	0 %	742	0 %
VAWG - police perpetrated	7	0 %	1	0 %	30	1 %	1,085	1 %
Police dogs or horses	5	0 %	5	0 %	3	0 %	102	0 %
Serious injury	5	0 %	2	0 %	13	0 %	346	0 %
Covert policing	3	0 %	4	0 %	3	0 %	86	0 %
PPDA	1	0 %	0	0 %	4	0 %	65	0 %
Coronavirus - other	0	0 %	1	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Coronavirus - police powers on infectiou	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Coronavirus - police powers on restricti	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
PPDA - Police victim	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	4	0 %
Taser	0	0 %	3	0 %	4	0 %	196	0 %
Unknown	0	0 %	10	0 %	0	0 %	28	0 %
VAWG' - dissatisfaction handling	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	19	0 %
VAWG' - police victim	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	24	0 %
VAWG - police victim	0	0 %	1	0 %	8	0 %	141	0 %

This section presents information that shows the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category and the percentages here will add up to over 100%. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for definitions of categories and factors.

Section A1.6: National complaint factors on top five allegation categories

Factors on top five allegation categories (Year to date)	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Access and/or disclosure of information	Discriminatory behaviour	Individual behaviours
▼					
VAWG - police perpetrated	0	1	0	0	2
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	100	12	3	0	12
Stop and/or search	5	42	1	5	8
Social media	3	0	1	0	1
Serious injury	1	4	0	0	0
Roads/traffic	174	70	6	8	51
Restraint equipment	0	19	1	0	1
Public order incident	20	22	1	3	4
Premises search	17	56	2	1	8
PPDA	0	0	0	0	1
Police dogs or horses	2	2	0	0	1
None	299	55	44	17	90
Neighbourhood policing	157	20	4	8	26
Missing persons	22	6	2	0	1
Mental health	101	69	1	17	46
Investigation	1,164	168	31	38	107
Hate Crime	8	1	0	5	1
Fraud	10	2	0	1	0
Firearms	4	1	0	2	0
Drugs / alcohol	23	42	1	1	4
Domestic / gender abuse	95	24	3	2	23
Death	60	4	1	0	0
Custody	71	196	4	12	19
Covert policing	3	0	0	0	0
Child protection / CSA / CSE	65	27	3	3	10
Call Handling	107	7	2	2	33
Arrest	148	394	9	21	60
Total	2,328	1,027	111	117	461

This section presents information that shows what people are complaining about using a combination of allegation categories and factors against the police force.

Categories capture the root of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. Factors capture the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint.

The combination of categories and factors provides a richer picture of what people are complaining about compared to the categories alone.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for definitions of categories and factors.

The table below shows a breakdown of allegations logged with the focus national complaint factors.

IOPC Police Data Year Quarter	VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	VAWG - police perpetrated	VAWG - police victim	Total
▼				
Q4 23/24	34	1	0	35
Q1 24/25	24	2	0	26
Q2 24/25	59	2	0	61
Q3 24/25	25	2	0	27
Q4 24/25	21	1	0	22
Total	163	8	0	171


Section A2: Allegations timeliness

This section presents the time it takes the force to finalise allegations by how they were handled. It gives a breakdown of allegations handled informally outside of Schedule 3 and those that were handled formally by either by investigation or handled other than by investigation. Timeliness is calculated from the date the allegation was received by the force to the day the complainant is informed of the allegation decision.



Independent investigation figures do not include conduct or death/serious injury investigations which are also investigated by the IOPC.

Allegations with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the [IOPC website](#) for an explanation of invalid dates.

Year to date	Outside of Schedule 3		Under Schedule 3 - not subject to investigation		Under Schedule 3 - by local investigation		Under Schedule 3 - by independent investigation	
Allegations	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days
Force	1,471	4	1,699	308	699	315	20	210
SPLY	1,375	6	2,098	335	404	361	0	0
MSF Average	1,722	18	1,847	117	542	207	7	291
National	71,979	20	73,237	109	17,701	220	348	380



Year to date	Under Schedule 3 - by directed investigation	
Allegations	Number Finalised	Average days
Force	0	0
SPLY	0	0
MSF Average	0	130
National	23	618



Section A3.1: How allegations were handled and their decisions


This section presents a breakdown of how allegations were handled information and the decisions being given. An allegation decision is logged for each allegation finalised.

The allegation decision reflects how the complaint case has been handled, with different decisions available for the different means of handling. Both the allegation decisions and the subsequent actions available will depend on two things: firstly, whether the complaint case has been handled outside or under Schedule 3; and secondly, the means of handling where it has been dealt with under Schedule 3.

Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of allegation decisions.

How allegations were handled (Year to date)	Force No.	Force %	MSF Average No.	MSF Average %	National No.	National %
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	672	17 %	514	12 %	16,001	10 %
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	47	1 %	35	1 %	2,071	1 %
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	1,699	44 %	1847	43 %	73,237	45 %
Outside of Schedule 3	1,471	38 %	1722	44 %	71,979	44 %
Total	3,889	100 %	4118	100 %	163,288	100 %

Force: percent of allegations finalised by handling method



How allegations were handled (Year to date)	Outside of Schedule 3				Under Schedule 3 - not investigated				Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)				Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)			
Allegation decision	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %
No further action					49	3 %	5,604	8 %			26	1 %	12	2 %	503	3 %
Regulation 41 applies							107	0 %			2	0 %			192	1 %
Service provided - unable to determine					197	12 %	6,698	9 %	2	4 %	38	2 %	70	10 %	1,499	9 %
Service provided - not acceptable					225	13 %	9,844	13 %	1	2 %	79	4 %	46	7 %	1,931	12 %
Service provided - acceptable					1139	67 %	48,901	67 %	4	9 %	338	16 %	522	78 %	11,450	72 %
Not Resolved	109	7 %	3,637	5 %												
Resolved	1362	93 %	68,336	95 %												
No Case to Answer									24	51 %	1,081	52 %				
Case to Answer									15	32 %	454	22 %				
Withdrawal					89	5 %	2,080	3 %	1	2 %	52	3 %	22	3 %	426	3 %


Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (Year to date by category)

This section presents information about allegations handled both informally and formally, grouped by the allegation decision and the category selected on each allegation. Each allegation has a single category selected.

Outside Schedule 3 allegation decisions

Allegation decisions	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Resolved	891	209	54	26	24	2	1	133	0	3	19	1,362
Not Resolved	64	19	0	1	2	2	1	13	0	1	6	109

Schedule 3 allegation decisions



Section B1.1: Allegation actions - on allegations handled outside of Schedule 3 (Year to date)

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of actions available.

Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

. Actions following outside of Schedule 3 complaint cases ^	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Organisational learning	3	0 %	4	0 %	13	1 %	272	0 %
Learning from reflection	16	1 %	23	2 %	109	5 %	1,991	3 %
Policy review	0	0 %	0	0 %	3	0 %	59	0 %
Goodwill gesture	1	0 %	0	0 %	2	0 %	114	0 %
Apology	154	10 %	179	13 %	189	14 %	6,555	9 %
Debrief	9	1 %	5	0 %	21	1 %	545	1 %
Explanation	960	65 %	863	63 %	998	59 %	45,379	63 %
No further action	233	16 %	250	18 %	201	10 %	8,079	11 %
Other action	89	6 %	33	2 %	156	8 %	8,339	12 %

Section B1.2: Allegation actions - on allegations handled under Schedule 3 (Year to date)

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of actions available. Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

All complaint cases handled under Schedule 3

. Actions following Schedule 3 complaint cases	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Organisational learning	13	1 %	13	1 %	20	1 %	813	1 %
Apology	66	3 %	105	4 %	145	5 %	3,493	4 %
Debrief	15	1 %	19	1 %	14	0 %	2,874	3 %
Explanation	1,557	64 %	1,343	54 %	1,516	64 %	56,328	62 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	29	0 %
No further action	560	23 %	781	31 %	470	20 %	19,619	21 %
Other action	8	0 %	9	0 %	22	1 %	921	1 %
Learning from reflection	122	5 %	125	5 %	155	6 %	5,009	5 %
Referral to RPRP	38	2 %	70	3 %	26	1 %	1,426	2 %

Misconduct, UPP and RPRP on complaints investigated under Schedule 3 (subject to Special Procedures)

Complaint cases handled under Schedule 3 that are investigated and subject to Special Procedures can result in misconduct proceedings



. Actions following Schedule 3 (special procedures) cases	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Misconduct proceedings	9	19 %	2	11 %	5	14 %	218	11 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	11	1 %
Other actions following a case to answer decision	2	4 %	0	0 %	4	10 %	139	7 %
Referral to RPRP	4	9 %	10	56 %	4	11 %	354	17 %

Section C1: Reviews received and timeliness (Year to date)

This section presents data on the number of reviews received about this force by the IOPC and by the local policing body. The charts enable you to see the proportion of each review type being received by the two bodies. For information on when the IOPC should be the review body, please see our [Statutory Guidance](#)

Non-investigation reviews received	LPB	IOPC
Force	56	20
SPLY	90	17
MSF Average	117	40
National	3,938	1,481


Investigation reviews received	LPB	IOPC
Force	0	24
SPLY	0	13
MSF Average	10	27
National	309	947



Complaints are not finalised until after any reviews are completed. However, this measure helps to provide an indication of how often reviews are made in comparison to the number of complaints completed.

	Reviews received	Schedule 3 complaints finalised
Force	100	684
SPLY	120	718
MSF Average	194	932
National	6,675	31,687

Reviews received as a proportion of Schedule 3 cases




	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Average number of working days to complete Local Policing Body reviews	96	62	34	48
Average number of working days to complete IOPC reviews	144	140	149	148

Section C2: Outcomes on reviews

This section presents information about the decisions made on reviews including the proportion of reviews that found the outcome of the complaint was not reasonable and proportionate leading to the review being upheld.


Investigation reviews (YTD)	Completed investigation (IOPC)	Upheld investigation (IOPC)	Completed investigation (LPB)	Upheld investigation (LPB)
Force	16	5	0	
SPLY	10	3	0	
MSF Average	28	8	9	9
National	903	272	284	81

% IOPC reviews upheld - Force



Non-investigation reviews (YTD)	Completed non-investigation (IOPC)	Upheld non-investigation (IOPC)	Completed non-investigation (LPB)	Upheld non-investigation (LPB)
Force	16	4	108	12
SPLY	15	6	41	6
MSF Average	33	10	111	21
National	1,112	330	3,747	802

% LPB Reviews upheld - Force






Section D1: Complaint cases finalised under Schedule 3 - timeliness

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled formally under Schedule 3. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made. On cases under Schedule 3, the below figures include the time a case may spend suspended due to criminal matters.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the [IOPC website](#) performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates. For more information on the proportion of complaints handled under each method (along with outside if Schedule 3) please see page 14

Average working days to finalise (Year to date)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	353	291	325	329
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	327	350	236	234
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	322	340	130	124
Total	323	341	149	146


Number finalised (Year to date)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	523	607	757	25,876
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	148	105	160	5,122
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	13	6	15	689
Total	684	718	932	31,687



Section D2: Complaint cases finalised outside of Schedule 3 - timeliness

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled handled formally under Schedule 3. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made. On cases under Schedule 3, the below figures include the time a case may spend suspended due to criminal matters.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the [IOPC website](#) performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates.




Section D3: How complaint cases handled

This section shows the proportion of complaint cases handled by the force, most similar for group and nationally under each method of handling. The table covers data recorded in the period as per 'Reporting Period' above, while the below chart concerns the force only using the last four quarters of available data.

Means Of Handling (YTD)	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised
Outside of Schedule 3	1,304	66%	1,242	63%	1,434	61%	60,061	65%
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	523	26%	607	31%	757	32%	25,876	28%
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	148	7%	105	5%	160	7%	5,124	6%
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	13	1%	6	0%	15	1%	689	1%
Total	1,988	100%	1,960	100%	2,366	100%	91,750	100%

Force: percent of complaint cases finalised by handling method



Section E: Referrals

The figures presented in this section include all referrals that the force makes to the IOPC (received), not just those arising from complaints.

When the IOPC receives a referral from the force, it reviews the information they have provided. The IOPC decides whether the matter requires an investigation, and the type of investigation.

Referrals may have been completed in a different period to when they were received.


Where a referral is made by the force on a mandatory basis but does not meet the mandatory referral criteria, the matter may not fall within the IOPC’s remit to assess and will be determined invalid.

The sum of decisions may not match the number of referrals completed. This is because some matters referred may have come to the attention of the appropriate authority before 1 February 2020 and have investigation type decisions of either managed or supervised.

Year to date

	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Number referrals received	165	232	169	6,713
Number referrals completed	168	230	171	6,786
Decision: Independent Investigation	7	11	8	351
Decision: Directed Investigation	0	0	1	30
Decision: Local Investigation	88	157	84	3,629
Decision: Return to Force	70	55	74	2,634
Decision: Invalid	3	7	3	141

Force and MSF Group referrals received



Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Humberside, Kent, Lancashire, Northumbria, Nottinghamshire, South Wales, South Yorkshire, West Yorkshire

Notes

Data sources

- Data in this bulletin is taken from XML data submissions made by forces to the IOPC every quarter except for IOPC performance data which is taken from the IOPC case management system.
- Police force employee numbers are taken from the latest [police workforce England and Wales statistics](#) published by the Home Office.
- The most similar force (MSF) groups used for the calculation of the MSF averages in this bulletin are those determined by HMICFRS. Please note that the British Transport Police and City of London Police do not have an MSF group. A list of the MSF groups is available on the [IOPC website](#).
- Figures for City of London include complaint cases logged in relation to ‘Action Fraud’. Action Fraud is the UK’s national reporting centre for fraud and cybercrime. The service is run by the City of London Police, which is the national policing lead for economic crime.

Performance Framework counting rules and calculation

- The counting rules and calculations used to produce the data shown in this bulletin can be found on the [IOPC website](#).
- Average times are presented in working days and do not include weekends or bank holidays.
- Some percentages may add up to more or less than 100% due to rounding.
- Complaint cases and allegations with invalid start/end dates have been removed from average time calculations. Therefore, the numbers of complaint cases and allegations used in the average time calculations may be lower than the total number of complaint cases and allegations logged or finalised.

Data constraints

- The data is sourced from live case management systems and provides a snapshot of information as it was at that time. Therefore, there may be variances between the information in this bulletin and information reported at a later date.

Further Information

- A glossary providing a full list of definitions used in this bulletin, can be found on the [IOPC website](#).
- Additional information about the recording of police complaints, including the definitions of the complaint categories, can be found in appendix A of the IOPC’s [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#).
- Information about how the police complaints system operates, who can complain and how reviews are dealt with can be found in the IOPC’s [Statutory Guidance on the police complaints system \(February 2020\)](#).