

# Police Complaints Information Bulletin: Humberside



Reporting Period: 01 April 2024 - 31 March 2025 (Q4 2024/25)

Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Durham, Gwent, Humberside, Lancashire, Northumbria, South Wales, South Yorkshire, West Yorkshire

## About this bulletin

This bulletin presents information about complaints defined under the Police Reform Act 2002 (PRA 2002), as amended by the Police and Crime Act 2017. The legislation came into effect on 1 February 2020 (4 January 2021 for the British Transport Police).

It sets out performance against a number of measures and compares force results to their most similar force (MSF) group (where applicable) and with the overall result for all forces (national).

**Please note: Unless stated otherwise, tables within the bulletin consist of 'year-to-date' figures covering all matters being started or completed between the two dates.**

**Q1: 1 April to 30 June, Q2: 1 April to 30 September, Q3: 1 April to 31 December, Q4: 1 April to 31 March**

Where charts refer to separate quarters the dates are: Q1: 1 April to 30 June, Q2: 1 July to 30 September, Q3: October to 31 December, Q4 1 January to 31 March.

## Contents

<b>Page 1</b>	Section A1.1: Complaints and allegations logged – totals and per 1,000 employees, Section A1.2: Means of handling – reasons a complaint is recorded under Schedule 3
<b>Page 2</b>	Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about
<b>Page 3</b>	Section A1.4: Allegations logged – what has been complained about – top five allegation categories and their subcategories
<b>Page 4</b>	Section A1.5: National complaint factors as a proportion of allegations logged
<b>Page 5</b>	Section A1.6: National complaint factors on the top five allegation categories
<b>Page 6</b>	Section A2: Allegations timeliness
<b>Page 7</b>	Section A3.1: How allegations were finalised and their decisions
<b>Page 8</b>	Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (category)
<b>Page 9</b>	Section B1.1 Allegation actions on allegations handled outside of Schedule 3
<b>Page 10</b>	Section B1.2 Allegation actions on allegations handled under Schedule 3
<b>Page 11</b>	Section C1: Reviews received and timeliness
<b>Page 12</b>	Section C2: Outcomes on reviews
<b>Page 13</b>	Section D1: Complaint cases timeliness on Schedule 3 complaints
<b>Page 14</b>	Section D2: Complaint cases timeliness on Outside Schedule 3 complaints and how complaints are finalised, Section D3: How complaints are handled
<b>Page 15</b>	Section E: Referrals
<b>Page 16</b>	Notes

## Acronyms used in this bulletin

**YTD** – Year to date figures, **SPLY** - Same period last year, **MSF** - Most similar force, **LPB** - Local policing body, **PRA** - Police Reform Act 2002

**Nat.** – National, **RPRP** – Reflective Practice Review Process, **UPP** – Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure





Section A1.1: Complaint cases and allegations logged


A complaint case is formed of one or more allegations. Numbers per 1,000 employees are used to demonstrate how the number of complaints/allegations against a force compare to their most similar force group and national figures. The force should contact the complainant and log the complaint as soon as possible after the complaint has been raised. Average to log/contact is from the customer perspective.

Note: Number of employees is a fixed number through the period, therefore complaints and allegations per 1,000 employees is higher for the full period (as in the table) than when broken down in to quarters (as per the charts)


Year to date	Complaints logged	Per 1,000 employees	Allegations Logged	Per 1,000 employees	Average working days to contact complainants	Average working days to log complaints
Force	1,682	428	2,857	726	1	1
SPLY	1,652	435	2,215	584	5	1
MSF Average	2,085	369	3,740	675	9	12
National	94,940	373	168,249	660	9	7



Complaints logged per 1,000 employees



Allegations logged per 1,000 employees



For space reasons some figures in the above charts are not shown

Section A1.2: Reason for complaints to be logged under Schedule 3

Allegations should have an allegation category applied to them which will identify the root of what the allegation is about.

Forces can handle a complaint informally (known as Outside of Schedule 3), this should be used to deal with lower level complaints.

If a complaint is handled formally under Schedule 3, the force should record the reason why.

For more information on Schedule 3 of the Police Reform Act see [here](#):

[Guidance on capturing data about police complaints.](#)

Reason complaint case recorded under Schedule 3 (YTD)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
AA/body responsible for initial handling decides	149	172	88	12,831
Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded	104	92	342	6,465
Dissatisfaction after initial handling	108	65	87	5,283
Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint	42	5	254	7,593
Total	403	334	771	32,172

Reason complaint case recorded under Schedule 3 (YTD)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
AA/body responsible for initial handling decides	37 %	51 %	15 %	40 %
Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded	26 %	28 %	36 %	20 %
Dissatisfaction after initial handling	27 %	19 %	17 %	16 %
Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint	10 %	1 %	32 %	24 %




Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about (YTD)

This section presents the three most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and sub-category, where available) is selected for each allegation logged. Total % is of the total number of allegations logged. Allegations where the subcategory is 'none' are omitted from this table.


What has been complained about (YTD)

Year to date	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Force	1,676	611	52	101	31	17	11	243	4	7	104	2,857
SPLY	1,244	464	52	81	19	16	20	285	3	4	27	2,215
MSF Average	1,952	776	130	102	42	110	42	501	7	18	62	3,740
National	91,360	35,834	5,690	3,518	1,766	4,832	1,614	20,481	404	1,031	1,716	168,246

What has been complained about (force - year to date)



What has been complained about (national - year to date)



Section A1.4: Allegations logged – Top five allegation categories and their sub-categories (Year to date)

Category	Year to date Subcategory	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Delivery of duties and service	Total	1,676	59 %	1,244	56 %	1,952	54 %	91,353	54 %
	General level of service	1,074	64 %	849	68 %	637	30 %	29,691	32 %
	Police action following contact	372	22 %	270	22 %	765	42 %	37,667	41 %
	Decisions	150	9 %	99	8 %	336	16 %	13,479	15 %
	Information	80	5 %	26	2 %	214	12 %	10,515	12 %
	Searches of premises and seizure of property	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Police powers, policies and procedures	Total	611	21 %	464	21 %	776	21 %	35,830	21 %
	Searches of premises and seizure of property	128	21 %	93	20 %	115	16 %	4,603	13 %
	Use of force	118	19 %	85	18 %	218	26 %	8,826	25 %
	Power to arrest and detain	111	18 %	82	18 %	128	16 %	6,460	18 %
	Detention in police custody	96	16 %	56	12 %	131	16 %	5,122	14 %
	Other policies and procedures	53	9 %	52	11 %	66	10 %	3,735	10 %
	Bail, identification and interview procedures	45	7 %	20	4 %	38	5 %	2,122	6 %
	Stops, and stop and search	34	6 %	17	4 %	27	3 %	1,790	5 %
	Evidential procedures	25	4 %	45	10 %	43	5 %	2,631	7 %
	Out of court disposals	1	0 %	14	3 %	10	1 %	540	2 %
	Information	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Individual behaviours	Total	243	9 %	285	13 %	501	13 %	20,480	12 %
	Impolite language / tone	92	38 %	102	36 %	128	29 %	5,352	26 %
	Unprofessional attitude and disrespect	78	32 %	63	22 %	138	31 %	5,808	28 %
	Impolite and intolerant actions	35	14 %	72	25 %	96	16 %	3,098	15 %
	Lack of fairness and impartiality	27	11 %	25	9 %	59	11 %	2,807	14 %
	Overbearing or harassing behaviours	11	5 %	23	8 %	80	14 %	3,415	17 %
Other	Total	104	4 %	27	1 %	62	1 %	1,702	1 %
	Other	104	100 %	27	100 %	62	100 %	1,702	99 %
Access and/or disclosure of information	Total	101	4 %	81	4 %	102	2 %	3,518	2 %
	Disclosure of information	68	67 %	46	57 %	58	62 %	2,349	67 %
	Handling of information	24	24 %	23	28 %	32	27 %	789	22 %
	Use of police systems	5	5 %	10	12 %	8	8 %	245	7 %
	Accessing and handling of information from other sources	4	4 %	2	2 %	3	3 %	133	4 %
	Decisions	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
	Information	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	2	0 %

This section presents the three most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and sub-category, where available) is selected for each allegation logged.

Total % is of the total number of allegations logged. Allegations where the subcategory is 'none' are omitted from this table.



Section A1.5: National complaint factors

Year to date Factors on all allegations	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged
Investigation	1,503	53 %	1,063	48 %	1,460	40 %	65,409	39 %
None	410	14 %	234	11 %	606	16 %	31,766	19 %
Arrest	322	11 %	249	11 %	556	13 %	21,786	13 %
Domestic / gender abuse	295	10 %	142	6 %	235	6 %	9,507	6 %
Neighbourhood policing	184	6 %	181	8 %	167	4 %	7,856	5 %
Custody	160	6 %	122	6 %	257	6 %	9,989	6 %
Roads/traffic	136	5 %	234	11 %	234	6 %	10,386	6 %
Call Handling	122	4 %	124	6 %	151	4 %	7,140	4 %
Mental health	98	3 %	138	6 %	152	3 %	5,164	3 %
Drugs / alcohol	51	2 %	44	2 %	79	2 %	2,046	1 %
Public order incident	49	2 %	70	3 %	45	1 %	1,327	1 %
Death	48	2 %	34	2 %	49	1 %	1,585	1 %
Premises search	44	2 %	83	4 %	95	2 %	4,308	3 %
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	44	2 %	68	3 %	170	4 %	7,183	4 %
Child protection / CSA / CSE	42	1 %	32	1 %	72	2 %	3,021	2 %
Stop and/or search	39	1 %	31	1 %	48	1 %	3,755	2 %
Missing persons	27	1 %	23	1 %	34	1 %	1,077	1 %
Fraud	19	1 %	19	1 %	20	1 %	1,113	1 %
Hate Crime	12	0 %	8	0 %	29	1 %	942	1 %
Social media	12	0 %	10	0 %	23	1 %	720	0 %
Firearms	8	0 %	21	1 %	13	0 %	742	0 %
Police dogs or horses	7	0 %	2	0 %	3	0 %	102	0 %
Restraint equipment	6	0 %	7	0 %	33	1 %	1,866	1 %
Taser	3	0 %	6	0 %	4	0 %	196	0 %
Serious injury	2	0 %	6	0 %	8	0 %	346	0 %
VAWG - police perpetrated	2	0 %	2	0 %	22	1 %	1,085	1 %
Covert policing	1	0 %	0	0 %	2	0 %	86	0 %
PPDA	1	0 %	0	0 %	2	0 %	65	0 %
VAWG - police victim	1	0 %	1	0 %	4	0 %	141	0 %
Coronavirus - other	0	0 %	2	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Coronavirus - police powers on infectiou	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Coronavirus - police powers on restricti	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
PPDA - Police victim	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	4	0 %
Unknown	0	0 %	2	0 %	0	0 %	28	0 %
VAWG' - dissatisfaction handling	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	19	0 %
VAWG' - police victim	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	24	0 %

This section presents information that shows the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category and the percentages here will add up to over 100%. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for definitions of categories and factors.



Section A1.6: National complaint factors on top five allegation categories

Factors on top five allegation categories (Year to date)	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Access and/or disclosure of information	Individual behaviours	Other
▼					
VAWG - police perpetrated	0	0	1	0	0
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	31	7	1	3	0
Taser	0	3	0	0	0
Stop and/or search	8	27	0	2	0
Social media	6	1	1	4	0
Serious injury	0	1	0	1	0
Roads/traffic	62	21	2	22	1
Restraint equipment	1	4	0	1	0
Public order incident	24	13	1	10	0
Premises search	5	31	0	2	0
Police dogs or horses	5	2	0	0	0
None	171	44	29	37	96
Neighbourhood policing	141	14	8	18	0
Missing persons	16	7	2	1	0
Mental health	51	24	2	15	2
Investigation	1,092	213	52	103	2
Hate Crime	6	1	0	5	0
Fraud	16	0	0	1	0
Firearms	4	3	0	0	0
Drugs / alcohol	26	19	0	5	0
Domestic / gender abuse	192	57	12	28	0
Death	37	7	1	2	0
Custody	26	115	3	12	1
Covert policing	0	0	0	0	1
Child protection / CSA / CSE	22	8	2	9	0
Call Handling	101	1	2	18	0
Arrest	103	181	5	26	0
Total	1,670	608	99	243	103

This section presents information that shows what people are complaining about using a combination of allegation categories and factors against the police force.

Categories capture the root of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. Factors capture the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint.

The combination of categories and factors provides a richer picture of what people are complaining about compared to the categories alone.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for definitions of categories and factors.

The table below shows a breakdown of allegations logged with the focus national complaint factors.

IOPC Police Data Year Quarter	VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	VAWG - police perpetrated	VAWG - police victim	Total
▼				
Q4 23/24	3	0	0	3
Q1 24/25	6	1	1	8
Q2 24/25	4	0	0	4
Q3 24/25	16	0	0	16
Q4 24/25	18	1	0	18
Total	47	2	1	49


Section A2: Allegations timeliness

This section presents the time it takes the force to finalise allegations by how they were handled. It gives a breakdown of allegations handled informally outside of Schedule 3 and those that were handled formally by either by investigation or handled other than by investigation. Timeliness is calculated from the date the allegation was received by the force to the day the complainant is informed of the allegation decision.



Independent investigation figures do not include conduct or death/serious injury investigations which are also investigated by the IOPC.

Allegations with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the [IOPC website](#) for an explanation of invalid dates.

Year to date	Outside of Schedule 3		Under Schedule 3 - not subject to investigation		Under Schedule 3 - by local investigation		Under Schedule 3 - by independent investigation	
Allegations	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days
Force	1,649	7	981	67	137	104	0	0
SPLY	1,538	13	692	88	66	106	0	0
MSF Average	1,494	20	1,440	149	439	230	10	350
National	71,979	20	73,237	109	17,701	220	348	380



Year to date	Under Schedule 3 - by directed investigation	
Allegations	Number Finalised	Average days
Force	0	0
SPLY	0	0
MSF Average	0	130
National	23	618





Section A3.1: How allegations were handled and their decisions


This section presents a breakdown of how allegations were handled information and the decisions being given. An allegation decision is logged for each allegation finalised.

The allegation decision reflects how the complaint case has been handled, with different decisions available for the different means of handling. Both the allegation decisions and the subsequent actions available will depend on two things: firstly, whether the complaint case has been handled outside or under Schedule 3; and secondly, the means of handling where it has been dealt with under Schedule 3.

Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of allegation decisions.

How allegations were handled (Year to date)	Force No.	Force %	MSF Average No.	MSF Average %	National No.	National %
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	114	4 %	415	11 %	16,001	10 %
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	23	1 %	33	1 %	2,071	1 %
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	981	35 %	1440	41 %	73,237	45 %
Outside of Schedule 3	1,649	60 %	1494	47 %	71,979	44 %
Total	2,767	100 %	3383	100 %	163,288	100 %

Force: percent of allegations finalised by handling method



How allegations were handled (Year to date)	Outside of Schedule 3				Under Schedule 3 - not investigated				Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)				Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)			
Allegation decision	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %
No further action					83	8 %	5,604	8 %	1	4 %	26	1 %	8	7 %	503	3 %
Regulation 41 applies							107	0 %			2	0 %			192	1 %
Service provided - unable to determine					87	9 %	6,698	9 %			38	2 %	11	10 %	1,499	9 %
Service provided - not acceptable					132	13 %	9,844	13 %	1	4 %	79	4 %	10	9 %	1,931	12 %
Service provided - acceptable					670	68 %	48,901	67 %	2	9 %	338	16 %	85	75 %	11,450	72 %
Not Resolved	145	9 %	3,637	5 %												
Resolved	1504	91 %	68,336	95 %												
No Case to Answer									11	48 %	1,081	52 %				
Case to Answer									8	35 %	454	22 %				
Withdrawal					9	1 %	2,080	3 %			52	3 %			426	3 %




Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (Year to date by category)

This section presents information about allegations handled both informally and formally, grouped by the allegation decision and the category selected on each allegation. Each allegation has a single category selected.

Outside Schedule 3 allegation decisions

Allegation decisions	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Resolved	933	341	31	37	29	2	0	116	1	1	13	1,504
Not Resolved	24	19	1	3	0	1	0	6	1	2	88	145

Schedule 3 allegation decisions





Section B1.1: Allegation actions - on allegations handled outside of Schedule 3 (Year to date)

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of actions available.

Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

. Actions following outside of Schedule 3 complaint cases ^	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Organisational learning	26	2 %	0	0 %	4	0 %	272	0 %
Learning from reflection	11	1 %	4	0 %	38	2 %	1,991	3 %
Policy review	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	59	0 %
Goodwill gesture	5	0 %	0	0 %	2	0 %	114	0 %
Apology	251	15 %	151	10 %	156	14 %	6,555	9 %
Debrief	0	0 %	1	0 %	9	1 %	545	1 %
Explanation	920	56 %	624	41 %	936	63 %	45,379	63 %
No further action	165	10 %	237	15 %	182	10 %	8,079	11 %
Other action	268	16 %	519	34 %	137	8 %	8,339	12 %



Section B1.2: Allegation actions - on allegations handled under Schedule 3 (Year to date)

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of actions available. Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

All complaint cases handled under Schedule 3

. Actions following Schedule 3 complaint cases	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Organisational learning	6	1 %	9	1 %	14	1 %	813	1 %
Apology	71	6 %	25	3 %	81	4 %	3,493	4 %
Debrief	0	0 %	0	0 %	13	0 %	2,874	3 %
Explanation	753	67 %	458	60 %	1,236	66 %	56,328	62 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	29	0 %
No further action	192	17 %	198	26 %	369	20 %	19,619	21 %
Other action	15	1 %	24	3 %	9	1 %	921	1 %
Learning from reflection	35	3 %	26	3 %	127	6 %	5,009	5 %
Referral to RPRP	25	2 %	13	2 %	23	2 %	1,426	2 %

Misconduct, UPP and RPRP on complaints investigated under Schedule 3 (subject to Special Procedures)

Complaint cases handled under Schedule 3 that are investigated and subject to Special Procedures can result in misconduct proceedings

. Actions following Schedule 3 (special procedures) cases	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Misconduct proceedings	5	22 %	2	11 %	4	11 %	218	11 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	11	1 %
Other actions following a case to answer decision	1	4 %	0	0 %	2	6 %	139	7 %
Referral to RPRP	5	22 %	6	33 %	4	14 %	354	17 %





Section C1: Reviews received and timeliness (Year to date)

This section presents data on the number of reviews received about this force by the IOPC and by the local policing body. The charts enable you to see the proportion of each review type being received by the two bodies. For information on when the IOPC should be the review body, please see our [Statutory Guidance](#)


Non-investigation reviews received	LPB	IOPC
Force	92	9
SPLY	97	11
MSF Average	80	41
National	3,938	1,481

Investigation reviews received	LPB	IOPC
Force	0	11
SPLY	1	8
MSF Average	9	23
National	309	947



Complaints are not finalised until after any reviews are completed. However, this measure helps to provide an indication of how often reviews are made in comparison to the number of complaints completed.

	Reviews received	Schedule 3 complaints finalised
Force	112	334
SPLY	117	476
MSF Average	153	743
National	6,675	31,687



	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Average number of working days to complete Local Policing Body reviews	13	15	36	48
Average number of working days to complete IOPC reviews	156	146	138	148




Section C2: Outcomes on reviews

This section presents information about the decisions made on reviews including the proportion of reviews that found the outcome of the complaint was not reasonable and proportionate leading to the review being upheld.

Investigation reviews (YTD)	Completed investigation (IOPC)	Upheld investigation (IOPC)	Completed investigation (LPB)	Upheld investigation (LPB)
Force	7	2	0	
SPLY	11	4	1	
MSF Average	22	6	8	16
National	903	272	284	81

% IOPC reviews upheld - Force


Investigation Non-investigation



Non-investigation reviews (YTD)	Completed non-investigation (IOPC)	Upheld non-investigation (IOPC)	Completed non-investigation (LPB)	Upheld non-investigation (LPB)
Force	6	0	93	13
SPLY	20	5	103	16
MSF Average	32	10	77	16
National	1,112	330	3,747	802

% LPB Reviews upheld - Force

Investigation Non-investigation






Section D1: Complaint cases finalised under Schedule 3 - timeliness

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled formally under Schedule 3. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made. On cases under Schedule 3, the below figures include the time a case may spend suspended due to criminal matters.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the [IOPC website](#) performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates. For more information on the proportion of complaints handled under each method (along with outside if Schedule 3) please see page 14

Average working days to finalise (Year to date)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	221	236	336	329
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	162	161	253	234
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	89	98	151	124
Total	97	104	171	146

Number finalised (Year to date)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	307	446	594	25,876
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	15	17	137	5,122
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	12	13	12	689
Total	334	476	743	31,687






Section D2: Complaint cases finalised outside of Schedule 3 - timeliness

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled handled formally under Schedule 3. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made. On cases under Schedule 3, the below figures include the time a case may spend suspended due to criminal matters.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the [IOPC website](#) performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates.




Section D3: How complaint cases handled

This section shows the proportion of complaint cases handled by the force, most similar for group and nationally under each method of handling. The table covers data recorded in the period as per 'Reporting Period' above, while the below chart concerns the force only using the last four quarters of available data.

.	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised
Outside of Schedule 3	1,244	79%	1,350	74%	1,205	62%	60,061	65%
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	307	19%	446	24%	594	31%	25,876	28%
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	15	1%	17	1%	137	7%	5,124	6%
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	12	1%	13	1%	12	1%	689	1%
Total	1,578	100%	1,826	100%	1,948	100%	91,750	100%

Force: percent of complaint cases finalised by handling method



Section E: Referrals

The figures presented in this section include all referrals that the force makes to the IOPC (received), not just those arising from complaints.

When the IOPC receives a referral from the force, it reviews the information they have provided. The IOPC decides whether the matter requires an investigation, and the type of investigation.

Referrals may have been completed in a different period to when they were received.


Where a referral is made by the force on a mandatory basis but does not meet the mandatory referral criteria, the matter may not fall within the IOPC’s remit to assess and will be determined invalid.

The sum of decisions may not match the number of referrals completed. This is because some matters referred may have come to the attention of the appropriate authority before 1 February 2020 and have investigation type decisions of either managed or supervised.

Year to date

	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Number referrals received	94	90	145	6,713
Number referrals completed	93	89	147	6,786
Decision: Independent Investigation	2	3	7	351
Decision: Directed Investigation	0	0	1	30
Decision: Local Investigation	47	58	70	3,629
Decision: Return to Force	44	22	66	2,634
Decision: Invalid	0	6	3	141

Force and MSF Group referrals received





Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Durham, Gwent, Humberside, Lancashire, Northumbria, South Wales, South Yorkshire, West Yorkshire

Notes

Data sources

- Data in this bulletin is taken from XML data submissions made by forces to the IOPC every quarter except for IOPC performance data which is taken from the IOPC case management system.
- Police force employee numbers are taken from the latest [police workforce England and Wales statistics](#) published by the Home Office.
- The most similar force (MSF) groups used for the calculation of the MSF averages in this bulletin are those determined by HMICFRS. Please note that the British Transport Police and City of London Police do not have an MSF group. A list of the MSF groups is available on the [IOPC website](#).
- Figures for City of London include complaint cases logged in relation to ‘Action Fraud’. Action Fraud is the UK’s national reporting centre for fraud and cybercrime. The service is run by the City of London Police, which is the national policing lead for economic crime.

Performance Framework counting rules and calculation

- The counting rules and calculations used to produce the data shown in this bulletin can be found on the [IOPC website](#).
- Average times are presented in working days and do not include weekends or bank holidays.
- Some percentages may add up to more or less than 100% due to rounding.
- Complaint cases and allegations with invalid start/end dates have been removed from average time calculations. Therefore, the numbers of complaint cases and allegations used in the average time calculations may be lower than the total number of complaint cases and allegations logged or finalised.

Data constraints

- The data is sourced from live case management systems and provides a snapshot of information as it was at that time. Therefore, there may be variances between the information in this bulletin and information reported at a later date.

Further Information

- A glossary providing a full list of definitions used in this bulletin, can be found on the [IOPC website](#).
- Additional information about the recording of police complaints, including the definitions of the complaint categories, can be found in appendix A of the IOPC’s [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#).
- Information about how the police complaints system operates, who can complain and how reviews are dealt with can be found in the IOPC’s [Statutory Guidance on the police complaints system \(February 2020\)](#).