

# Police Complaints Information Bulletin: Devon And Cornwall



Reporting Period: 01 April 2024 - 31 March 2025 (Q4 2024/25)

Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Devon And Cornwall, Norfolk, North Wales, North Yorkshire, Suffolk, Warwickshire, West Mercia, Wiltshire

## About this bulletin

This bulletin presents information about complaints defined under the Police Reform Act 2002 (PRA 2002), as amended by the Police and Crime Act 2017. The legislation came into effect on 1 February 2020 (4 January 2021 for the British Transport Police).

It sets out performance against a number of measures and compares force results to their most similar force (MSF) group (where applicable) and with the overall result for all forces (national).

**Please note: Unless stated otherwise, tables within the bulletin consist of 'year-to-date' figures covering all matters being started or completed between the two dates.**

**Q1: 1 April to 30 June, Q2: 1 April to 30 September, Q3: 1 April to 31 December, Q4: 1 April to 31 March**

Where charts refer to separate quarters the dates are: Q1: 1 April to 30 June, Q2: 1 July to 30 September, Q3: October to 31 December, Q4 1 January to 31 March.

## Contents

<b>Page 1</b>	Section A1.1: Complaints and allegations logged – totals and per 1,000 employees, Section A1.2: Means of handling – reasons a complaint is recorded under Schedule 3
<b>Page 2</b>	Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about
<b>Page 3</b>	Section A1.4: Allegations logged – what has been complained about – top five allegation categories and their subcategories
<b>Page 4</b>	Section A1.5: National complaint factors as a proportion of allegations logged
<b>Page 5</b>	Section A1.6: National complaint factors on the top five allegation categories
<b>Page 6</b>	Section A2: Allegations timeliness
<b>Page 7</b>	Section A3.1: How allegations were finalised and their decisions
<b>Page 8</b>	Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (category)
<b>Page 9</b>	Section B1.1 Allegation actions on allegations handled outside of Schedule 3
<b>Page 10</b>	Section B1.2 Allegation actions on allegations handled under Schedule 3
<b>Page 11</b>	Section C1: Reviews received and timeliness
<b>Page 12</b>	Section C2: Outcomes on reviews
<b>Page 13</b>	Section D1: Complaint cases timeliness on Schedule 3 complaints
<b>Page 14</b>	Section D2: Complaint cases timeliness on Outside Schedule 3 complaints and how complaints are finalised, Section D3: How complaints are handled
<b>Page 15</b>	Section E: Referrals
<b>Page 16</b>	Notes

## Acronyms used in this bulletin

**YTD** – Year to date figures, **SPLY** - Same period last year, **MSF** - Most similar force, **LPB** - Local policing body, **PRA** - Police Reform Act 2002

**Nat.** – National, **RPRP** – Reflective Practice Review Process, **UPP** – Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure





Section A1.1: Complaint cases and allegations logged

A complaint case is formed of one or more allegations. Numbers per 1,000 employees are used to demonstrate how the number of complaints/allegations against a force compare to their most similar force group and national figures. The force should contact the complainant and log the complaint as soon as possible after the complaint has been raised. Average to log/contact is from the customer perspective.


Note: Number of employees is a fixed number through the period, therefore complaints and allegations per 1,000 employees is higher for the full period (as in the table) than when broken down in to quarters (as per the charts)

Year to date	Complaints logged	Per 1,000 employees	Allegations Logged	Per 1,000 employees	Average working days to contact complainants	Average working days to log complaints
Force	1,354	198	3,509	513	80	89
SPLY	1,569	230	3,296	483	30	30
MSF Average	999	299	1,692	481	20	21
National	94,940	373	168,249	660	9	7




Complaints logged per 1,000 employees

Force MSF Average National



Allegations logged per 1,000 employees

Force MSF Average National



For space reasons some figures in the above charts are not shown

Section A1.2: Reason for complaints to be logged under Schedule 3

Allegations should have an allegation category applied to them which will identify the root of what the allegation is about.

Forces can handle a complaint informally (known as Outside of Schedule 3), this should be used to deal with lower level complaints.

If a complaint is handled formally under Schedule 3, the force should record the reason why.

For more information on Schedule 3 of the Police Reform Act see [here](#):

[Guidance on capturing data about police complaints.](#)

Reason complaint case recorded under Schedule 3 (YTD)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
AA/body responsible for initial handling decides	858	726	204	12,831
Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded	25	36	52	6,465
Dissatisfaction after initial handling	22	28	40	5,283
Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint	97	129	55	7,593
Total	1,002	919	350	32,172

Reason complaint case recorded under Schedule 3 (YTD)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
AA/body responsible for initial handling decides	86 %	79 %	48 %	40 %
Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded	2 %	4 %	20 %	20 %
Dissatisfaction after initial handling	2 %	3 %	14 %	16 %
Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint	10 %	14 %	17 %	24 %




Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about (YTD)

This section presents the three most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and sub-category, where available) is selected for each allegation logged. Total % is of the total number of allegations logged. Allegations where the subcategory is 'none' are omitted from this table.


What has been complained about (YTD)

Year to date	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Force	2,049	704	73	56	34	65	73	383	13	32	27	3,509
SPLY	1,910	705	73	55	15	67	34	404	7	17	9	3,296
MSF Average	856	384	50	34	22	45	22	237	6	17	21	1,692
National	91,360	35,834	5,690	3,518	1,766	4,832	1,614	20,481	404	1,031	1,716	168,246

What has been complained about (force - year to date)



What has been complained about (national - year to date)



Section A1.4: Allegations logged – Top five allegation categories and their sub-categories (Year to date)

Category	Year to date Subcategory	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Delivery of duties and service	Total	2,049	58 %	1,910	58 %	855	48 %	91,353	54 %
	General level of service	990	48 %	799	42 %	328	33 %	29,691	32 %
	Police action following contact	528	26 %	580	30 %	266	33 %	37,667	41 %
	Decisions	266	13 %	259	14 %	139	17 %	13,479	15 %
	Information	265	13 %	272	14 %	123	16 %	10,515	12 %
	Searches of premises and seizure of property	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Police powers, policies and procedures	Total	704	20 %	705	21 %	384	23 %	35,830	21 %
	Use of force	176	25 %	117	17 %	92	24 %	8,826	25 %
	Power to arrest and detain	134	19 %	160	23 %	67	18 %	6,460	18 %
	Searches of premises and seizure of property	99	14 %	77	11 %	45	12 %	4,603	13 %
	Other policies and procedures	94	13 %	137	19 %	42	11 %	3,735	10 %
	Detention in police custody	80	11 %	72	10 %	61	16 %	5,122	14 %
	Evidential procedures	38	5 %	69	10 %	31	9 %	2,631	7 %
	Bail, identification and interview procedures	35	5 %	39	6 %	26	7 %	2,122	6 %
	Stops, and stop and search	28	4 %	28	4 %	15	4 %	1,790	5 %
	Out of court disposals	20	3 %	6	1 %	6	1 %	540	2 %
	Information	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
	Total	383	11 %	404	12 %	237	15 %	20,480	12 %
	Unprofessional attitude and disrespect	110	29 %	129	32 %	61	26 %	5,808	28 %
	Overbearing or harassing behaviours	80	21 %	73	18 %	49	20 %	3,415	17 %
	Impolite language / tone	80	21 %	77	19 %	51	22 %	5,352	26 %
Individual behaviours	Lack of fairness and impartiality	64	17 %	72	18 %	41	18 %	2,807	14 %
	Impolite and intolerant actions	49	13 %	53	13 %	34	14 %	3,098	15 %
	Total	73	2 %	73	2 %	50	3 %	5,556	3 %
	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	73	100 %	73	100 %	50	100 %	5,555	98 %
Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Police action following contact	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
	Total	73	2 %	34	1 %	22	1 %	1,614	1 %
Abuse of position/ corruption	Abuse of position for other purpose	18	25 %	23	68 %	5	24 %	481	30 %
	Obstruction of justice	18	25 %	4	12 %	11	52 %	688	43 %
	Organisational corruption	16	22 %	5	15 %	3	8 %	272	17 %
	Abuse of position for financial purpose	12	16 %	2	6 %	2	3 %	70	4 %
	Abuse of position for sexual purpose	8	11 %	0	0 %	2	11 %	80	5 %
	Abuse of position for the purpose of pursuing an inappropriate emotional relationship	1	1 %	0	0 %	0	1 %	23	1 %
	Total	73	2 %	34	1 %	22	1 %	1,614	1 %

This section presents the three most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and sub-category, where available) is selected for each allegation logged.

Total % is of the total number of allegations logged. Allegations where the subcategory is 'none' are omitted from this table.



Section A1.5: National complaint factors

Year to date Factors on all allegations	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged
None	1,707	49 %	1,323	40 %	437	20 %	31,766	19 %
Investigation	634	18 %	658	20 %	589	39 %	65,409	39 %
Arrest	273	8 %	281	9 %	215	14 %	21,786	13 %
Custody	201	6 %	155	5 %	108	7 %	9,989	6 %
Roads/traffic	137	4 %	206	6 %	103	6 %	10,386	6 %
Call Handling	94	3 %	139	4 %	56	3 %	7,140	4 %
Death	88	3 %	38	1 %	25	1 %	1,585	1 %
Neighbourhood policing	68	2 %	123	4 %	69	4 %	7,856	5 %
Domestic / gender abuse	63	2 %	67	2 %	116	8 %	9,507	6 %
Premises search	57	2 %	39	1 %	40	3 %	4,308	3 %
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	51	1 %	55	2 %	94	7 %	7,183	4 %
Stop and/or search	40	1 %	36	1 %	31	2 %	3,755	2 %
Mental health	37	1 %	80	2 %	36	2 %	5,164	3 %
Firearms	36	1 %	57	2 %	11	0 %	742	0 %
VAWG - police perpetrated	31	1 %	9	0 %	20	1 %	1,085	1 %
Drugs / alcohol	29	1 %	21	1 %	27	2 %	2,046	1 %
Child protection / CSA / CSE	25	1 %	42	1 %	58	5 %	3,021	2 %
Hate Crime	25	1 %	16	0 %	16	1 %	942	1 %
Restraint equipment	20	1 %	6	0 %	18	1 %	1,866	1 %
Serious injury	15	0 %	6	0 %	4	0 %	346	0 %
Fraud	6	0 %	13	0 %	7	0 %	1,113	1 %
Social media	5	0 %	13	0 %	5	0 %	720	0 %
Public order incident	4	0 %	12	0 %	8	1 %	1,327	1 %
VAWG - police victim	3	0 %	1	0 %	1	0 %	141	0 %
Missing persons	2	0 %	20	1 %	8	1 %	1,077	1 %
Covert policing	1	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	86	0 %
Police dogs or horses	1	0 %	2	0 %	1	0 %	102	0 %
Taser	1	0 %	4	0 %	2	0 %	196	0 %
Coronavirus - other	0	0 %	1	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Coronavirus - police powers on infectiou	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Coronavirus - police powers on restricti	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
PPDA	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	65	0 %
PPDA - Police victim	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	4	0 %
Unknown	0	0 %	2	0 %	1	0 %	28	0 %
VAWG' - dissatisfaction handling	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	19	0 %
VAWG' - police victim	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	24	0 %

This section presents information that shows the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category and the percentages here will add up to over 100%. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for definitions of categories and factors.



Section A1.6: National complaint factors on top five allegation categories

Factors on top five allegation categories (Year to date)	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours
▼					
VAWG - police perpetrated	4	3	0	8	3
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	44	3	0	1	3
Taser	0	1	0	0	0
Stop and/or search	8	27	0	0	2
Social media	4	0	1	0	0
Serious injury	3	12	0	0	0
Roads/traffic	76	18	1	1	18
Restraint equipment	0	20	0	0	0
Public order incident	2	1	0	1	0
Premises search	10	34	9	0	4
Police dogs or horses	1	0	0	0	0
None	1,028	223	47	48	233
Neighbourhood policing	48	10	0	1	8
Missing persons	2	0	0	0	0
Mental health	15	12	0	0	8
Investigation	527	43	4	13	31
Hate Crime	9	3	0	1	1
Fraud	5	0	0	1	0
Firearms	24	6	1	0	4
Drugs / alcohol	18	10	1	0	0
Domestic / gender abuse	33	17	0	0	1
Death	80	0	1	0	7
Custody	51	102	5	0	34
Covert policing	1	0	0	0	0
Child protection / CSA / CSE	21	2	0	0	1
Call Handling	80	0	0	0	11
Arrest	44	198	4	1	20
Total	2,028	699	73	73	381

This section presents information that shows what people are complaining about using a combination of allegation categories and factors against the police force.

Categories capture the root of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. Factors capture the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint.

The combination of categories and factors provides a richer picture of what people are complaining about compared to the categories alone.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for definitions of categories and factors.

The table below shows a breakdown of allegations logged with the focus national complaint factors.

IOPC Police Data Year Quarter	VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	VAWG - police perpetrated	VAWG - police victim	Total
▼				
Q4 23/24	8	8	0	16
Q1 24/25	10	4	2	16
Q2 24/25	11	7	1	19
Q3 24/25	13	7	0	20
Q4 24/25	17	13	0	30
Total	59	39	3	101


Section A2: Allegations timeliness

This section presents the time it takes the force to finalise allegations by how they were handled. It gives a breakdown of allegations handled informally outside of Schedule 3 and those that were handled formally by either by investigation or handled other than by investigation. Timeliness is calculated from the date the allegation was received by the force to the day the complainant is informed of the allegation decision.



Independent investigation figures do not include conduct or death/serious injury investigations which are also investigated by the IOPC.

Allegations with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the [IOPC website](#) for an explanation of invalid dates.

Year to date	Outside of Schedule 3		Under Schedule 3 - not subject to investigation		Under Schedule 3 - by local investigation		Under Schedule 3 - by independent investigation	
Allegations	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days
Force	428	134	1,284	170	97	253	9	407
SPLY	769	56	1,632	131	214	331	0	0
MSF Average	694	57	641	126	154	257	2	144
National	71,979	20	73,237	109	17,701	220	348	380



Year to date	Under Schedule 3 - by directed investigation	
Allegations	Number Finalised	Average days
Force	0	0
SPLY	0	0
MSF Average	0	0
National	23	618





Section A3.1: How allegations were handled and their decisions


This section presents a breakdown of how allegations were handled information and the decisions being given. An allegation decision is logged for each allegation finalised.

The allegation decision reflects how the complaint case has been handled, with different decisions available for the different means of handling. Both the allegation decisions and the subsequent actions available will depend on two things: firstly, whether the complaint case has been handled outside or under Schedule 3; and secondly, the means of handling where it has been dealt with under Schedule 3.

Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of allegation decisions.

How allegations were handled (Year to date)	Force No.	Force %	MSF Average No.	MSF Average %	National No.	National %
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	56	3 %	139	11 %	16,001	10 %
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	50	3 %	17	1 %	2,071	1 %
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	1,284	71 %	641	46 %	73,237	45 %
Outside of Schedule 3	428	24 %	694	42 %	71,979	44 %
Total	1,818	100 %	1492	100 %	163,288	100 %

Force: percent of allegations finalised by handling method



How allegations were handled (Year to date)	Outside of Schedule 3				Under Schedule 3 - not investigated				Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)				Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)			
Allegation decision	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %
No further action					130	10 %	5,604	8 %			26	1 %	1	2 %	503	3 %
Regulation 41 applies					9	1 %	107	0 %			2	0 %	1	2 %	192	1 %
Service provided - unable to determine					118	9 %	6,698	9 %	1	2 %	38	2 %	3	5 %	1,499	9 %
Service provided - not acceptable					176	14 %	9,844	13 %	1	2 %	79	4 %	4	7 %	1,931	12 %
Service provided - acceptable					797	62 %	48,901	67 %	3	6 %	338	16 %	43	77 %	11,450	72 %
Not Resolved	48	11 %	3,637	5 %												
Resolved	380	89 %	68,336	95 %												
No Case to Answer									24	48 %	1,081	52 %				
Case to Answer									21	42 %	454	22 %				
Withdrawal					53	4 %	2,080	3 %			52	3 %	4	7 %	426	3 %




Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (Year to date by category)

This section presents information about allegations handled both informally and formally, grouped by the allegation decision and the category selected on each allegation. Each allegation has a single category selected.

Outside Schedule 3 allegation decisions

Allegation decisions	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Resolved	287	46	11	1	2	0	0	33	0	0	0	380
Not Resolved	38	7	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	48

Schedule 3 allegation decisions





Section B1.1: Allegation actions - on allegations handled outside of Schedule 3 (Year to date)

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of actions available.

Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

. Actions following outside of Schedule 3 complaint cases ^	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Organisational learning	0	0 %	1	0 %	2	1 %	272	0 %
Learning from reflection	8	2 %	7	1 %	10	4 %	1,991	3 %
Policy review	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	59	0 %
Goodwill gesture	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	114	0 %
Apology	38	9 %	74	10 %	80	14 %	6,555	9 %
Debrief	1	0 %	10	1 %	3	1 %	545	1 %
Explanation	281	66 %	470	61 %	320	49 %	45,379	63 %
No further action	89	21 %	198	26 %	112	16 %	8,079	11 %
Other action	8	2 %	4	1 %	157	15 %	8,339	12 %



Section B1.2: Allegation actions - on allegations handled under Schedule 3 (Year to date)

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of actions available. Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

All complaint cases handled under Schedule 3

. Actions following Schedule 3 complaint cases	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Organisational learning	10	1 %	11	1 %	11	1 %	813	1 %
Apology	86	6 %	130	7 %	32	4 %	3,493	4 %
Debrief	17	1 %	7	0 %	8	1 %	2,874	3 %
Explanation	820	59 %	1,130	61 %	422	55 %	56,328	62 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	1	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	29	0 %
No further action	334	24 %	436	24 %	232	26 %	19,619	21 %
Other action	19	1 %	6	0 %	5	1 %	921	1 %
Learning from reflection	28	2 %	101	5 %	57	8 %	5,009	5 %
Referral to RPRP	13	1 %	10	1 %	17	2 %	1,426	2 %

Misconduct, UPP and RPRP on complaints investigated under Schedule 3 (subject to Special Procedures)

Complaint cases handled under Schedule 3 that are investigated and subject to Special Procedures can result in misconduct proceedings


. Actions following Schedule 3 (special procedures) cases	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Misconduct proceedings	19	38 %	6	40 %	4	18 %	218	11 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	1	2 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	11	1 %
Other actions following a case to answer decision	2	4 %	0	0 %	2	15 %	139	7 %
Referral to RPRP	7	14 %	1	7 %	2	11 %	354	17 %




Section C1: Reviews received and timeliness (Year to date)

This section presents data on the number of reviews received about this force by the IOPC and by the local policing body. The charts enable you to see the proportion of each review type being received by the two bodies. For information on when the IOPC should be the review body, please see our [Statutory Guidance](#)

Non-investigation reviews received	LPB	IOPC
Force	82	49
SPLY	113	52
MSF Average	37	16
National	3,938	1,481




Investigation reviews received	LPB	IOPC
Force	1	11
SPLY	1	13
MSF Average	4	9
National	309	947



Complaints are not finalised until after any reviews are completed. However, this measure helps to provide an indication of how often reviews are made in comparison to the number of complaints completed.

	Reviews received	Schedule 3 complaints finalised
Force	143	525
SPLY	179	509
MSF Average	65	308
National	6,675	31,687

Reviews received as a proportion of Schedule 3 cases



	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Average number of working days to complete Local Policing Body reviews	99	71	64	48
Average number of working days to complete IOPC reviews	146	130	150	148




Section C2: Outcomes on reviews

This section presents information about the decisions made on reviews including the proportion of reviews that found the outcome of the complaint was not reasonable and proportionate leading to the review being upheld.

Investigation reviews (YTD)▲	Completed investigation (IOPC)	Upheld investigation (IOPC)	Completed investigation (LPB)	Upheld investigation (LPB)
Force	11	3	1	1
SPLY	17	6	2	
MSF Average	9	3	3	5
National	903	272	284	81

% IOPC reviews upheld - Force


● Investigation ● Non-investigation



Non-investigation reviews (YTD)▲	Completed non-investigation (IOPC)	Upheld non-investigation (IOPC)	Completed non-investigation (LPB)	Upheld non-investigation (LPB)
Force	46	16	114	49
SPLY	69	29	76	37
MSF Average	16	6	37	9
National	1,112	330	3,747	802

% LPB Reviews upheld - Force

● Investigation ● Non-investigation








Section D1: Complaint cases finalised under Schedule 3 - timeliness

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled formally under Schedule 3. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made. On cases under Schedule 3, the below figures include the time a case may spend suspended due to criminal matters.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the [IOPC website](#) performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates. For more information on the proportion of complaints handled under each method (along with outside if Schedule 3) please see page 14

Average working days to finalise (Year to date)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	413	376	318	329
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	521	474	296	234
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	332	217	170	124
Total	347	233	191	146

Number finalised (Year to date)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	474	475	261	25,876
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	34	27	41	5,122
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	17	7	7	689
Total	525	509	308	31,687






Section D2: Complaint cases finalised outside of Schedule 3 - timeliness

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled handled formally under Schedule 3. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made. On cases under Schedule 3, the below figures include the time a case may spend suspended due to criminal matters.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the [IOPC website](#) performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates.

Year to date

	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Complaint cases handled outside of Schedule 3	367	454	610	60061
Average days to finalise complaint cases handled outside of Schedule 3	200	61	69	22




Section D3: How complaint cases handled

This section shows the proportion of complaint cases handled by the force, most similar for group and nationally under each method of handling. The table covers data recorded in the period as per 'Reporting Period' above, while the below chart concerns the force only using the last four quarters of available data.

Means Of Handling (YTD)	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised
Outside of Schedule 3	367	41%	454	47%	610	66%	60,061	65%
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	474	53%	475	49%	261	28%	25,876	28%
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	34	4%	27	3%	41	4%	5,124	6%
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	17	2%	7	1%	7	1%	689	1%
Total	892	100%	963	100%	917	100%	91,750	100%

Force: percent of complaint cases finalised by handling method

Means Of Handling • Outside of Schedule 3 • Under Schedule 3 - not investigated • Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures) • Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)





Section E: Referrals

The figures presented in this section include all referrals that the force makes to the IOPC (received), not just those arising from complaints.

When the IOPC receives a referral from the force, it reviews the information they have provided. The IOPC decides whether the matter requires an investigation, and the type of investigation.

Referrals may have been completed in a different period to when they were received.


Where a referral is made by the force on a mandatory basis but does not meet the mandatory referral criteria, the matter may not fall within the IOPC’s remit to assess and will be determined invalid.

The sum of decisions may not match the number of referrals completed. This is because some matters referred may have come to the attention of the appropriate authority before 1 February 2020 and have investigation type decisions of either managed or supervised.

Year to date

	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Number referrals received	178	180	94	6,713
Number referrals completed	182	175	95	6,786
Decision: Independent Investigation	2	9	5	351
Decision: Directed Investigation	0	0	0	30
Decision: Local Investigation	86	104	50	3,629
Decision: Return to Force	92	60	38	2,634
Decision: Invalid	2	2	3	141

Force and MSF Group referrals received



Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Devon And Cornwall, Norfolk, North Wales, North Yorkshire, Suffolk, Warwickshire, West Mercia, Wiltshire

Notes

Data sources

- Data in this bulletin is taken from XML data submissions made by forces to the IOPC every quarter except for IOPC performance data which is taken from the IOPC case management system.
- Police force employee numbers are taken from the latest [police workforce England and Wales statistics](#) published by the Home Office.
- The most similar force (MSF) groups used for the calculation of the MSF averages in this bulletin are those determined by HMICFRS. Please note that the British Transport Police and City of London Police do not have an MSF group. A list of the MSF groups is available on the [IOPC website](#).
- Figures for City of London include complaint cases logged in relation to ‘Action Fraud’. Action Fraud is the UK’s national reporting centre for fraud and cybercrime. The service is run by the City of London Police, which is the national policing lead for economic crime.

Performance Framework counting rules and calculation

- The counting rules and calculations used to produce the data shown in this bulletin can be found on the [IOPC website](#).
- Average times are presented in working days and do not include weekends or bank holidays.
- Some percentages may add up to more or less than 100% due to rounding.
- Complaint cases and allegations with invalid start/end dates have been removed from average time calculations. Therefore, the numbers of complaint cases and allegations used in the average time calculations may be lower than the total number of complaint cases and allegations logged or finalised.

Data constraints

- The data is sourced from live case management systems and provides a snapshot of information as it was at that time. Therefore, there may be variances between the information in this bulletin and information reported at a later date.

Further Information

- A glossary providing a full list of definitions used in this bulletin, can be found on the [IOPC website](#).
- Additional information about the recording of police complaints, including the definitions of the complaint categories, can be found in appendix A of the IOPC’s [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#).
- Information about how the police complaints system operates, who can complain and how reviews are dealt with can be found in the IOPC’s [Statutory Guidance on the police complaints system \(February 2020\)](#).