Police Complaints Information Bulletin

Lancashire Constabulary

01 April 2025 - 31 March 2026 (Q4 2025/26)

IOPC Independent Office for Police Conduct

About this bulletin

This bulletin presents information about complaints defined under the Police Reform Act 2002 (PRA 2002), as amended by the Police and Crime Act 2017. The legislation came into effect on 1 February 2020 (4 January 2021 for the British Transport Police).

It sets out performance against a number of measures and compares force results to their most similar force (MSF) group (where applicable)

Please note: Unless stated otherwise, tables within the bulletin consist of 'year-to-date' figures covering all matters being started or completed between the two dates.

Q1: 1 April to 30 June, Q2: 1 April to 30 September, Q3: 1 April to 31 December, Q4: 1 April to 31 March

Notes for this edition of the bulletin

Devon and Cornwall: Devon and Cornwall Police had a significant backlog of complaints, including complaints that showed as live but were only administratively awaiting finalisation. Following restructure and uplift of resourcing this queue is being reduced, and we believe this is directly impacting the duration of Complaints Finalised

De-recorded matters: A new data loading process has been introduced which removes matters that have been 'de-recorded' (meaning complaints and allegations recorded in error) from the figures. Figures may differ from those previously reported, particularly in 'same period last year' calculations

Contents

Page 1 Summary of complaints and allegations logged

Page 2 How complaints are being handled and what has been complained about

Page 3 Subcategories of the most frequently applied allegation categories

Page 4 Factors applied to allegations logged

Page 5 Factors on frequently applied allegation categories (force figures only)

Page 6 How allegations have been handled and allegation timeliness

Page 7 Complaint case timeliness

Page 8 Findings and actions on Outside Schedule 3 allegations

Page 9 Findings on Schedule 3 allegations

Page 10 Actions on Schedule 3 allegations

Page 11 Reviews received

Page 12 Reviews outcomes and timeliness

Page 13 Referrals to the IOPC

Page 14 Notes and links

Acronyms used in this bulletin

YTD – Year to date figures, **SPLY** - Same period last year, **MSF** - Most similar force, **LPB** - Local policing body, **PRA** - Police Reform Act 2002

Nat. – National, **RPRP** – Reflective Practice Review Process, **UPP** – Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure

Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Humberside Police, Kent Police, Lancashire Constabulary, Northumbria Police, Nottinghamshire Police, South Wales Police, South Yorkshire Police, West Yorkshire Police

Complaints and allegations logged

A **complaint case** is formed of one or more **allegations**.

This bulletin contains data on complaints, allegations, referrals and reviews broken down in the following ways:

- **Force** - The police force and date range covered by the bulletin title
- **SPLY** - Data as above in the same period of the previous financial year
- **MSF Average** - Most Similar Force group* average for the period
- **National** - Total or average of all forces in this period

*Most Similar Force groups are specified by the Home Office and enable comparison between forces of similar size and demographics

Measure	Complaints logged	Per 1,000 employees
Force	2,327	373
SPLY	1,996	317
MSF Average	2,815	452
National	108,988	432

Measure	Allegations Logged	Per 1,000 employees
Force	4,326	693
SPLY	4,497	715
MSF Average	5,097	845
National	188,600	748

Numbers per 1,000 employees are used to demonstrate how the number of complaints/allegations against a force compare to their most similar force group and national figures.

Note: Number of employees is a fixed number through the period, therefore complaints and allegations per 1,000 employees is higher for the full period (as in the table) than when broken down in to quarters (as per the charts)





The force should **contact** the complainant and **log (record)** the complaint as soon as possible after the complaint has been raised. Average to log/contact is from the customer perspective.

Data labels in the above charts are those of the force

Measure	Average working days to contact complainants	Average working days to log complaints
Force	3	0
SPLY	8	0
MSF Average	9	7
National	8	7





For information on what has been complained about, see pages 2 to 5

For information on allegations and complaints timeliness, see pages 6 and 7

Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Humberside Police, Kent Police, Lancashire Constabulary, Northumbria Police, Nottinghamshire Police, South Wales Police, South Yorkshire Police, West Yorkshire Police

How complaints are being handled and what has been complained about

When a complaint is received by the force, the force can attempt to handle the complaint informally (outside of Schedule 3 of the Police Reform Act) or handle it formally (under Schedule 3). When deciding handle to complaint formally, the force should record the reason why

Measure type	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Reason complaint recorded under Schedule 3	Complaints	%	Complaints	%	Complaints	%	Complaints	%
AA/body responsible for initial handling decides	64	12%	137	20%	104	10%	13,438	37%
Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded	164	30%	283	41%	242	24%	6,263	17%
Dissatisfaction after initial handling	101	18%	53	8%	153	15%	6,430	18%
Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint	221	40%	209	31%	521	51%	10,354	28%
Total	**550**	**100%**	**682**	**100%**	**1,020**	**100%**	**36,485**	**100%**



Allegations should have an allegation category applied to them which will identify the root of what the allegation is about. Most of these categories are broken down into subcategories which are detailed in the following pages. An allegation will have a single subcategory applied, a complaint can consist of numerous allegations, each with their own category

Allegation category	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Force	2,436	1,043	82	114	45	105	44	400	9	17	31	**4,326**
SPLY	2,417	1,066	117	116	35	123	57	477	9	17	63	**4,497**
MSF Average	2,676	1,158	164	130	49	137	47	626	10	33	67	**5,097**
National	103,106	41,505	6,603	4,098	2,025	4,996	1,507	21,384	336	1,167	1,871	**188,598**

Allegation category	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Force	56%	24%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	9%	0%	0%	1%	**100%**
SPLY	54%	24%	3%	3%	1%	3%	1%	11%	0%	0%	1%	**100%**
MSF Average	53%	23%	3%	3%	1%	3%	1%	12%	0%	1%	1%	**100%**
National	55%	22%	4%	2%	1%	3%	1%	11%	0%	1%	1%	**100%**

Top five most applied allegation categories







Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Humberside Police, Kent Police, Lancashire Constabulary, Northumbria Police, Nottinghamshire Police, South Wales Police, South Yorkshire Police, West Yorkshire Police

Allegation subcategories

This section presents data on some of the most commonly recorded categories on allegations logged. For more information on allegation categories, see the IOPC website and links provided on page 14.

This category is about the service received from the police. Complaints in this category can be organisational or can be about individual behaviour

Delivery of duties and service	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Sub-category	Logged	%	Logged	%	Logged	%	Logged	%
General level of service	855	35%	739	31%	776	29%	31,306	30%
Police action following contact	691	28%	849	35%	961	36%	43,168	42%
Decisions	596	24%	517	21%	615	23%	16,853	16%
Information	294	12%	312	13%	324	12%	11,776	11%
Total	**2,436**	**100%**	**2,417**	**100%**	**2,676**	**100%**	**103,103**	**100%**

This concerns individual behaviours (language, actions and behaviour) that are not an abuse of position or discriminatory in nature

Individual behaviours	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Sub-category	Logged	%	Logged	%	Logged	%	Logged	%
Impolite language / tone	175	44%	157	33%	160	25%	5,690	27%
Unprofessional attitude and disrespect	71	18%	90	19%	168	27%	6,001	28%
Lack of fairness and impartiality	61	15%	98	21%	83	13%	3,135	15%
Impolite and intolerant actions	47	12%	74	16%	125	20%	3,216	15%
Overbearing or harassing behaviours	46	12%	58	12%	90	14%	3,342	16%
Total	**400**	**100%**	**477**	**100%**	**626**	**100%**	**21,384**	**100%**

This category is about the use of police powers, including where an available power has not been used, and police policies and procedures. Complaints in this category can be organisational or about individual behaviour

Police powers, policies and procedures	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Sub-category	Logged	%	Logged	%	Logged	%	Logged	%
Use of force	238	23%	281	26%	266	23%	9,354	23%
Power to arrest and detain	191	18%	197	18%	186	16%	7,174	17%
Searches of premises and seizure of property	143	14%	131	12%	178	15%	5,528	13%
Detention in police custody	139	13%	156	15%	169	15%	5,441	13%
Evidential procedures	102	10%	77	7%	132	11%	3,715	9%
Other policies and procedures	96	9%	101	9%	112	10%	5,037	12%
Stops, and stop and search	55	5%	50	5%	38	3%	1,981	5%
Bail, identification and interview procedures	47	5%	53	5%	60	5%	2,573	6%
Out of court disposals	32	3%	20	2%	18	2%	700	2%
Total	**1,043**	**100%**	**1,066**	**100%**	**1,158**	**100%**	**41,503**	**100%**

This includes any issue where an element of discrimination was involved or was perceived to be involved. It also includes any instances where the possible discriminatory behaviour is identified by the person receiving, recording, or investigating a complaint. Complaints in this category can be organisational or can be about individual behaviour.

Discriminatory behaviour	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Sub-category	Logged	%	Logged	%	Logged	%	Logged	%
Race	41	39%	53	43%	60	44%	2,379	48%
Disability	29	28%	36	29%	29	21%	1,107	22%
Sex	22	21%	19	15%	27	20%	723	14%
Other	7	7%	7	6%	10	7%	376	8%
Gender reassignment	4	4%	1	1%	3	2%	55	1%
Age	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	63	1%
Religion or belief	1	1%	3	2%	3	2%	157	3%
None					0	0%		
Marriage and civil partnership					0	0%		
Pregnancy and maternity					0	0%	3	0%
Sexual orientation			3	2%	4	3%	133	3%
Total	**105**	**100%**	**123**	**100%**	**137**	**100%**	**4,996**	**100%**

Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Humberside Police, Kent Police, Lancashire Constabulary, Northumbria Police, Nottinghamshire Police, South Wales Police, South Yorkshire Police, West Yorkshire Police

Factors applied to allegations logged

This section presents information that shows the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category and the percentages here will add up to over 100%. Please refer to our guidance on capturing data about police complaints for definitions of categories and factors.

Measure type	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Factor	Logged	%	Logged	%	Logged	%	Logged	%
Investigation	1,604	37%	1,644	37%	2,131	42%	73,662	39%
None	616	14%	601	13%	828	16%	38,408	20%
Arrest	579	13%	710	16%	682	13%	22,295	12%
Roads/traffic	383	9%	358	8%	293	6%	11,363	6%
Custody	340	8%	328	7%	331	6%	10,889	6%
Neighbourhood policing	200	5%	222	5%	226	4%	8,198	4%
Mental health	195	5%	267	6%	164	3%	5,236	3%
Domestic / gender abuse	157	4%	160	4%	265	5%	10,451	6%
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	134	3%	144	3%	198	4%	8,335	4%
Call Handling	133	3%	157	3%	240	5%	8,178	4%
Child protection / CSA / CSE	122	3%	135	3%	119	2%	3,524	2%
Premises search	111	3%	93	2%	150	3%	4,979	3%
	103	2%	48	1%	70	1%	1,637	1%
Drugs / alcohol	69	2%	78	2%	70	1%	1,998	1%
Stop and/or search	69	2%	70	2%	69	1%	3,983	2%
Death	53	1%	73	2%	39	1%	1,617	1%
Fraud	49	1%	13	0%	23	0%	1,448	1%
Missing persons	30	1%	36	1%	36	1%	1,198	1%
Public order incident	22	1%	53	1%	36	1%	1,350	1%
Firearms	21	0%	7	0%	26	1%	880	0%
Hate Crime	21	0%	16	0%	30	1%	836	0%
Restraint equipment	21	0%	25	1%	50	1%	1,759	1%
Social media	12	0%	11	0%	27	1%	892	0%
VAWG - police perpetrated	7	0%	7	0%	23	0%	828	0%
Covert policing	6	0%	3	0%	2	0%	83	0%
VAWG - police victim	5	0%			7	0%	161	0%
Taser	4	0%			7	0%	189	0%
Police dogs or horses	3	0%	5	0%	4	0%	115	0%
Serious injury	1	0%	5	0%	10	0%	352	0%
PPDA			1	0%	6	0%	168	0%
PPDA - Police victim					0	0%	5	0%
Prejudicial and improper behaviour					4	0%	29	0%









Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Humberside Police, Kent Police, Lancashire Constabulary, Northumbria Police, Nottinghamshire Police, South Wales Police, South Yorkshire Police, West Yorkshire Police

Factors on frequently applied allegation categories (force figures only)

This section presents information that shows what people are complaining about using a combination of allegation categories and factors against the police force.

Categories capture the root of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. Factors capture the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint.

The combination of categories and factors provides a richer picture of what people are complaining about compared to the categories alone.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category. Please refer to our guidance on capturing data about police complaints for definitions of categories and factors.

Category	Delivery of duties and service		Discriminatory behaviour		Individual behaviours		Police powers, policies and procedures	
Factors	Allegations Logged	Percent	Allegations Logged	Percent	Allegations Logged	Percent	Allegations Logged	Percent
Investigation	1,217	50%	33	31%	102	26%	179	17%
Arrest	136	6%	12	11%	42	11%	354	34%
None	308	13%	19	18%	89	22%	87	8%
Custody	120	5%	8	8%	11	3%	193	19%
Roads/traffic	182	7%	10	10%	37	9%	92	9%
Neighbourhood policing	162	7%	1	1%	19	5%	14	1%
Mental health	84	3%	12	11%	26	7%	67	6%
Domestic / gender abuse	90	4%	4	4%	11	3%	46	4%
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	101	4%			13	3%	17	2%
Call Handling	91	4%			37	9%	2	0%
Child protection / CSA / CSE	74	3%	1	1%	19	5%	24	2%
Premises search	22	1%	1	1%	4	1%	68	7%
	57	2%	7	7%	8	2%	21	2%
Stop and/or search	13	1%	5	5%	5	1%	43	4%
Drugs / alcohol	11	0%	1	1%	7	2%	44	4%
Fraud	45	2%					3	0%
Death	40	2%			3	1%	4	0%
Missing persons	13	1%			7	2%	10	1%
Hate Crime	17	1%			2	1%	2	0%
Public order incident	8	0%			1	0%	12	1%
Restraint equipment							21	2%
Firearms	10	0%			1	0%	9	1%
Social media	4	0%	1	1%	1	0%	2	0%
Covert policing					6	2%		
VAWG - police victim	4	0%			1	0%		
Taser	1	0%					3	0%
VAWG - police perpetrated	3	0%			1	0%		
Police dogs or horses	1	0%					2	0%
Serious injury	1	0%						

How allegations have been handled and allegation timeliness

This section presents the time it takes the force to finalise allegations by how they were handled.

- An allegation can be handled informally (outside of Schedule 3 of the Police Reform Act 2002) or formally handled under Schedule 3
- Schedule 3 allegations can handled without investigation or by investigation.
- Where handled by investigation this may be subject to 'special procedures' (meaning that a finding of a case to answer for misconduct may be found)
- If not subject to special procedures, a finding of the service provided being acceptable or unacceptable may be found.

For more information on allegation findings and actions, please see pages 8 - 10

Handling method	Outside of Schedule 3		Under Schedule 3 - not subject to investigation		Under Schedule 3 - by local investigation		Under Schedule 3 - by directed investigation		Under Schedule 3 - by independent investigation		Total	
Number finalised	Allegations Finalised	%	Allegations Finalised	%	Allegations Finalised	%	Allegations Finalised	%	Allegations Finalised	%	Allegations Finalised	%
Force	2,013	51%	1,442	36%	509	13%					**3,964**	**100%**
SPLY	1,450	37%	1,692	44%	711	18%			24	1%	**3,877**	**100%**
MSF Average	2,033	41%	2,305	47%	607	12%	0	0%	5	0%	**4,951**	**100%**
National	82,446	45%	85,168	46%	16,974	9%	1	0%	315	0%	**184,904**	**100%**

. Local investigation	Not special procedures Finalised	%	Special procedures Finalised	%	Total Finalised	%
Force	478	94%	31	6%	**509**	**100%**
SPLY	680	96%	31	4%	**711**	**100%**
MSF Average	569	94%	38	6%	**607**	**100%**
National	15,386	91%	1,588	9%	**16,974**	**100%**



Timeliness is calculated from the date the allegation was received by the force to the day the complainant is informed of the allegation decision.

Allegations with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the IOPC website for an explanation of invalid dates.

Handling method	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Outside of Schedule 3	5	4	21	22
Under Schedule 3 - not subject to investigation	369	309	131	106
Under Schedule 3 - by local investigation	351	315	226	228
Under Schedule 3 - by directed investigation	0	0	0	48
Under Schedule 3 - by independent investigation	0	240	150	338







Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Humberside Police, Kent Police, Lancashire Constabulary, Northumbria Police, Nottinghamshire Police, South Wales Police, South Yorkshire Police, West Yorkshire Police

Complaint timeliness

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made. On cases under Schedule 3, the below figures include the time a case may spend suspended due to criminal matters.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the IOPC website performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates.

.	Outside of Schedule 3		Schedule 3 - not investigated		Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)		Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)		Total	
Measure type	Finalised	%	Finalised	%	Finalised	%	Finalised	%	Finalised	%
Force	1,740	79%	335	15%	107	5%	10	0%	**2,192**	**100%**
SPLY	1,277	66%	507	26%	146	8%	12	1%	**1,942**	**100%**
MSF Average	1,721	62%	860	31%	169	6%	14	0%	**2,764**	**100%**
National	70,061	65%	32,002	30%	4,930	5%	634	1%	**107,627**	**100%**

.	Schedule 3 - not investigated		Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)		Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	
Measure type	Finalised	Average days to finalise	Finalised	Average days to finalise	Finalised	Average days to finalise
Force	335	357	107	323	10	453
SPLY	507	321	146	322	12	358
MSF Average	860	143	169	251	14	361
National	32,002	142	4,930	261	634	349



Outside of Schedule 3	Finalised	Average days to finalise
Force	1,740	8
SPLY	1,277	6
MSF Average	1,721	23
National	70,063	23





Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Humberside Police, Kent Police, Lancashire Constabulary, Northumbria Police, Nottinghamshire Police, South Wales Police, South Yorkshire Police, West Yorkshire Police

Findings and actions on Outside Schedule 3 allegations

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our guidance on capturing data about police complaints for details of actions available (link available on page 14)

When an allegation is handled outside of Schedule 3 it will result in a finding of Resolved or Not Resolved - (an allegation not resolved may be moved to Schedule 3 or finalised without additional action)

Outside Schedule 3 result	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Resolved	1,899	1,343	1,952	78,219
Not Resolved	114	107	81	4,224
Total	**2,013**	**1,450**	**2,033**	**82,443**

Figures may differ in the two tables where actions have not yet been decided or not yet recorded

Measure	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Actions on allegations outside of Schedule 3	Allegations finalised	Percent	Allegations finalised	Percent	Allegations finalised	Percent	Allegations finalised	Percent
Explanation	1,420	71%	945	65%	1,219	60%	53,668	65%
No further action	240	12%	229	16%	236	12%	10,054	12%
Apology	177	9%	154	11%	181	9%	6,733	8%
Other action	145	7%	88	6%	197	10%	7,759	9%
Learning from reflection	9	0%	16	1%	157	8%	2,278	3%
Debrief	7	0%	9	1%	14	1%	1,041	1%
Organisational learning	3	0%	3	0%	15	1%	337	0%
Goodwill gesture	1	0%	1	0%	3	0%	178	0%
Policy review	1	0%			2	0%	56	0%
Total	**2,003**	**100%**	**1,445**	**100%**	**2,024**	**100%**	**82,104**	**100%**





Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Humberside Police, Kent Police, Lancashire Constabulary, Northumbria Police, Nottinghamshire Police, South Wales Police, South Yorkshire Police, West Yorkshire Police

Findings on Schedule 3 allegations

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our guidance on capturing data about police complaints for details of actions available.

When an allegation is handled under Schedule 3 (not investigated or investigated not subject to special procedures), it will typically result in a finding of:

The service provided was acceptable

The service provided was not acceptable

Unable to determine whether service provided was acceptable

Measure type	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Not investigated	**Finalised**	**%**	**Finalised**	**%**	**Finalised**	**%**	**Finalised**	**%**
No further action	107	7%	49	3%	135	6%	5,708	7%
Regulation 41 applies					18	1%	262	0%
Service provided - acceptable	970	67%	1,134	67%	1,534	67%	58,464	69%
Service provided - not acceptable	157	11%	223	13%	296	13%	11,005	13%
Service provided - unable to determine	151	10%	197	12%	258	11%	7,657	9%
Withdrawal	57	4%	89	5%	64	3%	2,069	2%

Measure type	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Not subject to special procedures	**Finalised**	**%**	**Finalised**	**%**	**Finalised**	**%**	**Finalised**	**%**
Case to Answer					0	0%		
No further action	19	4%	13	2%	7	1%	504	3%
Regulation 41 applies					9	2%	218	1%
Service provided - acceptable	347	73%	531	77%	376	66%	10,707	69%
Service provided - not acceptable	51	11%	51	7%	84	15%	2,102	14%
Service provided - unable to determine	46	10%	70	10%	75	13%	1,531	10%
Withdrawal	15	3%	22	3%	21	4%	429	3%

An allegation investigated (subject to special procedures) should not result in a service finding but some have been recorded with that outcome

Such allegations should result in a Case to Answer or No Case to Answer for misconduct

In addition, an allegation can be withdrawn, finalised following criminal proceedings or subject to no further action. No further action here means that the allegation could not be progressed, for example due to being unable to engage with the complainant. This is different from the force deciding to take no further action after looking into an allegation

Measure type	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Subject to special procedures	**Finalised**	**%**	**Finalised**	**%**	**Finalised**	**%**	**Finalised**	**%**
Case to Answer	11	35%	16	33%	9	22%	397	22%
No Case to Answer	8	26%	24	50%	20	49%	886	49%
No further action	1	3%			0	1%	31	2%
Regulation 41 applies					0	1%	7	0%
Service provided - acceptable	3	10%	4	8%	5	13%	285	16%
Service provided - not acceptable	7	23%	1	2%	2	4%	81	5%
Service provided - unable to determine			2	4%	1	2%	43	2%
Withdrawal	1	3%	1	2%	4	9%	69	4%

Schedule 3 allegations (not investigated)



Schedule 3 allegations (investigated - not subject to special procedures)



Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Humberside Police, Kent Police, Lancashire Constabulary, Northumbria Police, Nottinghamshire Police, South Wales Police, South Yorkshire Police, West Yorkshire Police

Actions on Schedule 3 allegations

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations that resulted in the corresponding action.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our guidance on capturing data about police complaints for details of actions available.

Measure	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Actions on Schedule 3 allegations	Allegations finalised	Percent	Allegations finalised	Percent	Allegations finalised	Percent	Allegations finalised	Percent
Explanation	1,284	66%	1,555	65%	1,948	67%	66,745	65%
No further action	484	25%	566	24%	535	18%	17,908	18%
Learning from reflection	82	4%	123	5%	191	7%	5,564	5%
Apology	52	3%	66	3%	130	4%	4,154	4%
Referral to RPRP	17	1%	36	1%	25	1%	1,333	1%
Others	11	1%	16	1%	47	2%	1,158	1%
Misconduct proceedings	6	0%	11	0%	5	0%	162	0%
Organisational learning	6	0%	16	1%	22	1%	948	1%
Debrief	3	0%	15	1%	5	0%	4,219	4%
Total	**1,945**	**100%**	**2,404**	**100%**	**2,908**	**100%**	**102,191**	**100%**

Please note: A finding for misconduct can only be made where the allegation is investigated subject to special procedures





Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Humberside Police, Kent Police, Lancashire Constabulary, Northumbria Police, Nottinghamshire Police, South Wales Police, South Yorkshire Police, West Yorkshire Police

Reviews received

This section presents data on the number of reviews received about this force by the IOPC and by the local policing body. The charts enable you to see the proportion of each review type being received by the two bodies. The IOPC handle the majority of reviews where the complaint was handled by investigation. For information on when the IOPC should be the review body, please see our Statutory Guidance

LPB reviews	Investigation	Non-investigation
Force	0	36
SPLY	0	73
MSF Average	9	133
National	275	5,002



IOPC Reviews	Investigation	Non-investigation
Force	26	26
SPLY	24	20
MSF Average	31	50
National	935	2105



Complaints are not finalised until after any reviews are completed. However, this measure helps to provide an indication of how often reviews are made in comparison to the number of complaints completed.

Measure	Reviews Received	Complaint cases finalised under Schedule 3
Force	88	452
SPLY	117	665
MSF Average	223	1,043
National	8,317	37,566



Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Humberside Police, Kent Police, Lancashire Constabulary, Northumbria Police, Nottinghamshire Police, South Wales Police, South Yorkshire Police, West Yorkshire Police

Reviews outcomes and timeliness

LPB Reviews

This section presents information about the decisions made on reviews and how long reviews are taking to finalise.

When a review finds that the outcome of the complaint was not reasonable and proportionate, that review is considered to be 'upheld'

Review type	Complete with outcome	Upheld
Investigation		
Force	0	0
SPLY	0	0
MSF Average	9	2
National	282	80
Non-investigation		
Force	52	6
SPLY	108	12
MSF Average	122	23
National	4429	868

Review type	Average duration
Investigation	
Force	0
SPLY	0
MSF Average	20
National	57
Non-investigation	
Force	67
SPLY	96
MSF Average	38
National	44





IOPC Reviews

The IOPC will be the review body on complaints that meet certain criteria, including mandatory referral criteria (see page 16)

When a complaint is referred to the IOPC, the IOPC automatically becomes the review body.

There has been a significant backlog in the number of reviews handled by the IOPC which means the average durations are typically longer than those on reviews where the relevant review body is the local policing body

IOPC review	Investigation	Upheld	Non-investigation	Upheld
Force	34	6	21	6
SPLY	16	5	16	4
MSF Average	37	10	52	16
National	1,152	327	2,121	555

Measure	Average duration
Force	126
SPLY	144
MSF Average	126
National	115





Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Humberside Police, Kent Police, Lancashire Constabulary, Northumbria Police, Nottinghamshire Police, South Wales Police, South Yorkshire Police, West Yorkshire Police

Referrals to the IOPC

The force should refer certain matters to the IOPC for the IOPC to decide how they should be handled. The figures presented in this section include all referrals that the force makes to the IOPC (received), not just those arising from complaints.

When the IOPC receives a referral from the force, it reviews the information they have provided. The IOPC decides whether the matter requires an investigation, and the type of investigation.

Referrals may have been completed in a different period to when they were received.

A referral may be made invalid if the matter does not fall within the IOPC's remit

The sum of decisions may not match the number of referrals completed. This is because some matters referred may have come to the attention of the appropriate authority before 1 February 2020 and have investigation type decisions of either managed or supervised.

	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Number Referrals Received	141	165	183	6,942
Number referrals completed	139	168	184	7,017
Decision: Directed Investigation			1	24
Decision: Independent Investigation	5	7	12	400
Decision: Invalid	3	3	3	98
Decision: Local Investigation	58	88	87	3,513
Decision: Return to Force	73	70	82	2,980



Referral type	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Complaint	24	54	49	1,786
Conduct	21	23	22	1,204
DSI	96	88	112	3,952
Total	**141**	**165**	**183**	**6,942**



Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Humberside Police, Kent Police, Lancashire Constabulary, Northumbria Police, Nottinghamshire Police, South Wales Police, South Yorkshire Police, West Yorkshire Police

Notes

Data sources

- Data in this bulletin is taken from XML data submissions made by forces to the IOPC every quarter except for IOPC performance data which is taken from the IOPC case management system.
- Police force employee numbers are taken from the latest police workforce England and Wales statistics published by the Home Office.
- The most similar force (MSF) groups used for the calculation of the MSF averages in this bulletin are those determined by HMICFRS. Please note that the British Transport Police, Report Fraud and City of London Police do not have an MSF group. A list of the MSF groups is available on the IOPC website.

Performance Framework counting rules and calculation

- The counting rules and calculations used to produce the data shown in this bulletin can be found on the IOPC website.
- Average times are presented in working days and do not include weekends or bank holidays.
- Some percentages may add up to more or less than 100% due to rounding.
- Complaint cases and allegations with invalid start/end dates have been removed from average time calculations. Therefore, the numbers of complaint cases and allegations used in the average time calculations may be lower than the total number of complaint cases and allegations logged or finalised.

Data constraints

- The data is sourced from live case management systems and provides a snapshot of information as it was at that time. Therefore, there may be variances between the information in this bulletin and information reported at a later date.

Further Information

- A glossary providing a full list of definitions used in this bulletin, can be found on the IOPC website.

- Additional information about the recording of police complaints, including the definitions of the complaint categories, can be found in appendix A of the IOPC's Guidance on capturing data about police complaints.

- Information about how the police complaints system operates, who can complain and how reviews are dealt with can be found in the IOPC's Statutory Guidance on the police complaints system (February 2020).