

# Interim Police Complaints Information Bulletin: Cleveland



**Reporting Period: 01 April 2025 - 30 September 2025 (Q2 2025/26)**

**Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Cleveland, Greater Manchester, Humberside, Merseyside, Northumbria, West Yorkshire**

## About this bulletin

This bulletin presents information about complaints defined under the Police Reform Act 2002 (PRA 2002), as amended by the Police and Crime Act 2017. The legislation came into effect on 1 February 2020 (4 January 2021 for the British Transport Police).

It sets out performance against a number of measures and compares force results to their most similar force (MSF) group (where applicable)

Please note: Data for one force was not available in time to be published in this bulletin. This should be considered when using national figures for comparison.

**Please note: Unless stated otherwise, tables within the bulletin consist of 'year-to-date' figures covering all matters being started or completed between the two dates.**

**Q1: 1 April to 30 June, Q2: 1 April to 30 September, Q3: 1 April to 31 December, Q4: 1 April to 31 March**

## Contents

<b>Page 1</b>	Section A1.1: Complaints and allegations logged – totals and per 1,000 employees, Section A1.2: Means of handling – reasons a complaint is recorded under Schedule 3
<b>Page 2</b>	Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about
<b>Page 3</b>	Section A1.4: Allegations logged – what has been complained about – top five allegation categories and their subcategories
<b>Page 4</b>	Section A1.5: National complaint factors as a proportion of allegations logged
<b>Page 5</b>	Section A1.6: National complaint factors on the top five allegation categories
<b>Page 6</b>	Section A2: Allegations timeliness
<b>Page 7</b>	Section A3.1: How allegations were finalised and their decisions
<b>Page 8</b>	Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (category)
<b>Page 9</b>	Section B1.1 Allegation actions on allegations handled outside of Schedule 3
<b>Page 10</b>	Section B1.2 Allegation actions on allegations handled under Schedule 3
<b>Page 11</b>	Section C1: Reviews received and timeliness
<b>Page 12</b>	Section C2: Outcomes on reviews
<b>Page 13</b>	Section D1: Complaint cases timeliness on Schedule 3 complaints
<b>Page 14</b>	Section D2: Complaint cases timeliness on Outside Schedule 3 complaints and how complaints are finalised, Section D3: How complaints are handled
<b>Page 15</b>	Section E: Referrals
<b>Page 16</b>	Notes

## Acronyms used in this bulletin

**YTD** – Year to date figures, **SPLY** - Same period last year, **MSF** - Most similar force, **LPB** - Local policing body, **PRA** - Police Reform Act 2002


**Nat.** – National, **RPRP** – Reflective Practice Review Process, **UPP** – Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure




Section A1.1: Complaint cases and allegations logged

A complaint case is formed of one or more allegations. Numbers per 1,000 employees are used to demonstrate how the number of complaints/allegations against a force compare to their most similar force group and national figures. The force should contact the complainant and log the complaint as soon as possible after the complaint has been raised. Average to log/contact is from the customer perspective.


Note: Number of employees is a fixed number through the period, therefore complaints and allegations per 1,000 employees is higher for the full period (as in the table) than when broken down in to quarters (as per the charts)




Year to date	Complaints logged	Per 1,000 employees	Allegations Logged	Per 1,000 employees	Average working days to contact complainants	Average working days to log complaints
Force	1,124	440	1,581	619	1	1
SPLY	1,126	444	1,599	631	1	1
MSF Average	2,075	296	3,370	469	7	5
National	54,025	214	92,398	367	8	8



Complaints logged per 1,000 employees



Allegations logged per 1,000 employees



For space reasons some figures in the above charts are not shown

Section A1.2: Reason for complaints to be logged under Schedule 3

Allegations should have an allegation category applied to them which will identify the root of what the allegation is about.

Forces can handle a complaint informally (known as Outside of Schedule 3), this should be used to deal with lower level complaints.

If a complaint is handled formally under Schedule 3, the force should record the reason why.

For more information on Schedule 3 of the Police Reform Act see [here](#):

[Guidance on capturing data about police complaints.](#)

Reason complaint case recorded under Schedule 3 (YTD)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
AA/body responsible for initial handling decides	15	3	130	6,147
Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded	18	74	71	2,922
Dissatisfaction after initial handling	130	112	89	2,753
Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint	4	8	177	5,061
Total	167	197	467	16,883

Reason complaint case recorded under Schedule 3 (YTD)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
AA/body responsible for initial handling decides	9 %	2 %	16 %	36 %
Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded	11 %	38 %	19 %	17 %
Dissatisfaction after initial handling	78 %	57 %	30 %	16 %
Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint	2 %	4 %	35 %	30 %



Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about (YTD)


This section presents the three most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and sub-category, where available) is selected for each allegation logged. Total % is of the total number of allegations logged. Allegations where the subcategory is 'none' are omitted from this table.

What has been complained about (YTD)

Year to date	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Force	743	345	116	40	17	26	6	275	0	10	3	1,581
SPLY	802	325	113	18	30	6	3	277	1	16	8	1,599
MSF Average	1,706	742	166	78	41	100	18	471	5	14	30	3,370
National	50,290	20,195	3,136	1,917	1,099	2,555	679	10,906	170	598	852	92,397

What has been complained about (force - year to date)

What has been complained about (national - year to date)



Section A1.4: Allegations logged – Top five allegation categories and their sub-categories (Year to date)

Category	Year to date Subcategory	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Delivery of duties and service	Total	743	47 %	802	50 %	1,706	51 %	50,289	54 %
	Police action following contact	349	47 %	345	43 %	834	43 %	21,478	43 %
	Information	217	29 %	247	31 %	245	14 %	5,808	12 %
	Decisions	113	15 %	126	16 %	284	17 %	8,005	16 %
	General level of service	64	9 %	84	10 %	343	26 %	14,998	30 %
Police powers, policies and procedures	Total	345	22 %	325	20 %	742	22 %	20,195	22 %
	Use of force	93	27 %	83	26 %	192	26 %	4,720	23 %
	Searches of premises and seizure of property	86	25 %	84	26 %	117	17 %	2,650	13 %
	Power to arrest and detain	42	12 %	42	13 %	122	16 %	3,563	18 %
	Detention in police custody	39	11 %	39	12 %	106	12 %	2,555	13 %
	Bail, identification and interview procedures	22	6 %	25	8 %	43	5 %	1,229	6 %
	Evidential procedures	21	6 %	26	8 %	53	7 %	1,828	9 %
	Other policies and procedures	19	6 %	10	3 %	59	8 %	2,380	12 %
	Stops, and stop and search	18	5 %	14	4 %	41	6 %	936	5 %
	Out of court disposals	5	1 %	2	1 %	10	2 %	334	2 %
Individual behaviours	Total	275	17 %	277	17 %	471	13 %	10,906	12 %
	Impolite language / tone	120	44 %	132	48 %	133	31 %	2,938	27 %
	Overbearing or harassing behaviours	48	17 %	31	11 %	66	14 %	1,688	15 %
	Unprofessional attitude and disrespect	47	17 %	44	16 %	129	27 %	3,042	28 %
	Impolite and intolerant actions	35	13 %	42	15 %	96	17 %	1,625	15 %
	Lack of fairness and impartiality	25	9 %	28	10 %	47	10 %	1,613	15 %
	Total	116	7 %	113	7 %	166	5 %	3,060	3 %
Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	116	100 %	113	100 %	166	100 %	3,060	98 %
Access and/or disclosure of information	Total	40	3 %	18	1 %	78	3 %	1,916	2 %
	Disclosure of information	24	60 %	13	72 %	49	64 %	1,319	69 %
	Handling of information	10	25 %	4	22 %	18	22 %	360	19 %
	Use of police systems	5	13 %	1	6 %	8	10 %	158	8 %
	Accessing and handling of information from other sources	1	3 %	0	0 %	3	4 %	79	4 %
	Decisions	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
	Information	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %

This section presents the three most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and sub-category, where available) is selected for each allegation logged.

Total % is of the total number of allegations logged. Allegations where the subcategory is 'none' are omitted from this table.



Section A1.5: National complaint factors

Year to date Factors on all allegations	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged
Investigation	502	32 %	483	30 %	1,204	36 %	35,875	39 %
None	234	15 %	448	28 %	609	17 %	17,926	19 %
Arrest	162	10 %	143	9 %	403	12 %	11,122	12 %
Roads/traffic	108	7 %	105	7 %	252	7 %	5,674	6 %
Premises search	103	7 %	101	6 %	125	4 %	2,313	3 %
Call Handling	87	6 %	89	6 %	135	5 %	3,994	4 %
Domestic / gender abuse	71	4 %	51	3 %	308	7 %	5,308	6 %
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	66	4 %	72	5 %	204	4 %	3,994	4 %
Custody	56	4 %	62	4 %	192	5 %	5,234	6 %
Mental health	27	2 %	21	1 %	132	3 %	2,452	3 %
Stop and/or search	20	1 %	17	1 %	88	3 %	1,871	2 %
Child protection / CSA / CSE	18	1 %	16	1 %	56	2 %	1,763	2 %
Drugs / alcohol	16	1 %	6	0 %	47	1 %	1,000	1 %
Missing persons	16	1 %	13	1 %	26	1 %	622	1 %
Neighbourhood policing	16	1 %	24	2 %	216	5 %	4,427	5 %
VAWG - police victim	12	1 %	18	1 %	3	0 %	52	0 %
Death	11	1 %	12	1 %	28	1 %	772	1 %
Public order incident	9	1 %	2	0 %	19	1 %	659	1 %
Fraud	8	1 %	3	0 %	13	0 %	643	1 %
Restraint equipment	8	1 %	3	0 %	32	1 %	867	1 %
Firearms	6	0 %	5	0 %	9	0 %	387	0 %
Social media	6	0 %	5	0 %	17	1 %	479	1 %
Police dogs or horses	4	0 %	0	0 %	3	0 %	57	0 %
Covert policing	3	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	46	0 %
Hate Crime	2	0 %	0	0 %	15	0 %	415	0 %
VAWG - police perpetrated	2	0 %	9	1 %	10	0 %	425	0 %
Taser	1	0 %	0	0 %	4	0 %	100	0 %
Coronavirus - other	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Coronavirus ' police powers on infectiou	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
Coronavirus - police powers on infectiou	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
Coronavirus - police powers on restricti	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
PPDA	0	0 %	0	0 %	2	0 %	58	0 %
PPDA - Police victim	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	2	0 %
Serious injury	0	0 %	3	0 %	8	0 %	193	0 %
Unknown	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	6	0 %

This section presents information that shows the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category and the percentages here will add up to over 100%. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for definitions of categories and factors.



Section A1.6: National complaint factors on top five allegation categories

Factors on top five allegation categories (Year to date)	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Individual behaviours
▼					
VAWG - police victim	8	3	0	0	1
VAWG - police perpetrated	1	0	0	0	0
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	48	6	1	0	8
Taser	0	1	0	0	0
Stop and/or search	0	15	0	0	5
Social media	5	0	0	0	0
Roads/traffic	42	19	7	3	17
Restraint equipment	0	8	0	0	0
Public order incident	2	4	1	0	1
Premises search	10	69	17	0	6
Police dogs or horses	0	3	0	0	0
None	95	17	30	19	60
Neighbourhood policing	11	0	0	0	5
Missing persons	1	7	0	0	7
Mental health	9	7	2	0	8
Investigation	379	29	24	6	59
Hate Crime	2	0	0	0	0
Fraud	6	2	0	0	0
Firearms	3	1	0	1	1
Drugs / alcohol	8	5	2	1	0
Domestic / gender abuse	38	14	1	0	16
Death	5	2	2	0	1
Custody	1	35	5	3	7
Covert policing	0	0	0	0	3
Child protection / CSA / CSE	11	4	0	0	2
Call Handling	44	1	0	0	41
Arrest	15	105	15	0	18
Total	668	320	106	33	251

This section presents information that shows what people are complaining about using a combination of allegation categories and factors against the police force.

Categories capture the root of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. Factors capture the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint.

The combination of categories and factors provides a richer picture of what people are complaining about compared to the categories alone.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for definitions of categories and factors.

The table below shows a breakdown of allegations logged with the focus national complaint factors.

IOPC Police Data Year Quarter	VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	VAWG - police perpetrated	VAWG - police victim	Total
▼				
Q2 24/25	44	5	7	50
Q3 24/25	44	4	11	50
Q4 24/25	41	1	4	44
Q1 25/26	26	0	3	29
Q2 25/26	40	2	9	47
Total	195	12	34	220


Section A2: Allegations timeliness

This section presents the time it takes the force to finalise allegations by how they were handled. It gives a breakdown of allegations handled informally outside of Schedule 3 and those that were handled formally by either by investigation or handled other than by investigation. Timeliness is calculated from the date the allegation was received by the force to the day the complainant is informed of the allegation decision.



Independent investigation figures do not include conduct or death/serious injury investigations which are also investigated by the IOPC.

Allegations with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the [IOPC website](#) for an explanation of invalid dates.

Year to date	Outside of Schedule 3		Under Schedule 3 - not subject to investigation		Under Schedule 3 - by local investigation		Under Schedule 3 - by independent investigation	
Allegations	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days
Force	921	14	461	113	23	216	0	0
SPLY	1,118	16	389	110	41	255	4	458
MSF Average	1,856	19	1,110	99	264	197	5	133
National	40,759	22	37,787	104	7,711	226	102	362



Year to date	Under Schedule 3 - by directed investigation	
Allegations	Number Finalised	Average days
Force	0	0
SPLY	0	0
MSF Average	0	0
National	1	49





Section A3.1: How allegations were handled and their decisions


This section presents a breakdown of how allegations were handled information and the decisions being given. An allegation decision is logged for each allegation finalised.

The allegation decision reflects how the complaint case has been handled, with different decisions available for the different means of handling. Both the allegation decisions and the subsequent actions available will depend on two things: firstly, whether the complaint case has been handled outside or under Schedule 3; and secondly, the means of handling where it has been dealt with under Schedule 3.

Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of allegation decisions.

How allegations were handled (Year to date)	Force No.	Force %	MSF Average No.	MSF Average %	National	National %
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	16	1 %	246	8 %	7,058	8 %
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	7	0 %	23	1 %	756	1 %
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	461	33 %	1110	32 %	37,787	44 %
Outside of Schedule 3	921	66 %	1856	60 %	40,759	47 %
Total	1,405	100 %	3234	100 %	86,360	100 %

Force: percent of allegations finalised by handling method



How allegations were handled (Year to date)	Outside of Schedule 3				Under Schedule 3 - not investigated				Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)				Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)			
Allegation decision	Force No.	Force %	National	National %	Force No.	Force %	National	National %	Force No.	Force %	National	National %	Force No.	Force %	National	National %
▼																
No further action			0		4	1 %	2,670	7 %			10	1 %			248	4 %
Regulation 41 applies			0				90	0 %			2	0 %			112	2 %
Service provided - unable to determine			0		39	8 %	3,444	9 %			18	2 %	2	13 %	712	10 %
Service provided - not acceptable			0		101	22 %	4,757	13 %			33	4 %	4	25 %	970	14 %
Service provided - acceptable			1	0 %	301	65 %	25,819	68 %			126	17 %	10	63 %	4,785	68 %
Not Resolved	33	4 %	2,044	5 %			0				0				0	
Resolved	888	96 %	38,714	95 %			0				0				0	
No Case to Answer			0				0		5	71 %	386	51 %			0	
Case to Answer			0				0		2	29 %	141	19 %			0	
Withdrawal			0		16	3 %	1,005	3 %			40	5 %			231	3 %




Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (Year to date by category)

This section presents information about allegations handled both informally and formally, grouped by the allegation decision and the category selected on each allegation. Each allegation has a single category selected.

Outside Schedule 3 allegation decisions

Allegation decisions	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Resolved	436	179	87	18	16	2	0	148	0	1	1	888
Not Resolved	17	6	0	2	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	33

Schedule 3 allegation decisions





Section B1.1: Allegation actions - on allegations handled outside of Schedule 3 (Year to date)

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of actions available.

Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

. Actions following outside of Schedule 3 complaint cases ▲	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Organisational learning	2	0 %	1	0 %	2	0 %	176	0 %
Learning from reflection	3	0 %	4	0 %	36	2 %	1,043	3 %
Policy review	2	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	29	0 %
Goodwill gesture	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	92	0 %
Apology	14	2 %	25	2 %	83	5 %	3,141	8 %
Debrief	0	0 %	0	0 %	26	1 %	387	1 %
Explanation	793	86 %	890	80 %	1,198	63 %	26,358	65 %
No further action	54	6 %	110	10 %	217	13 %	5,286	13 %
Other action	52	6 %	83	7 %	283	15 %	4,052	10 %



Section B1.2: Allegation actions - on allegations handled under Schedule 3 (Year to date)

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of actions available. Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

All complaint cases handled under Schedule 3

. Actions following Schedule 3 complaint cases	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Organisational learning	2	0 %	0	0 %	4	0 %	346	1 %
Apology	6	1 %	9	2 %	61	4 %	1,647	4 %
Debrief	0	0 %	0	0 %	292	7 %	1,823	4 %
Explanation	418	86 %	382	88 %	805	64 %	29,333	64 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	9	0 %
No further action	7	1 %	26	6 %	138	18 %	8,724	19 %
Other action	20	4 %	4	1 %	10	1 %	379	1 %
Learning from reflection	20	4 %	13	3 %	48	4 %	2,446	5 %
Referral to RPRP	11	2 %	1	0 %	14	1 %	602	1 %

Misconduct, UPP and RPRP on complaints investigated under Schedule 3 (subject to Special Procedures)

Complaint cases handled under Schedule 3 that are investigated **and** subject to Special Procedures can result in misconduct proceedings


. Actions following Schedule 3 (special procedures) cases	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
⬆ Misconduct proceedings	0	0 %	0	0 %	3	15 %	52	7 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Other actions following a case to answer decision	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	3 %	45	6 %
Referral to RPRP	2	29 %	0	0 %	2	26 %	92	12 %




Section C1: Reviews received and timeliness (Year to date)

This section presents data on the number of reviews received about this force by the IOPC and by the local policing body. The charts enable you to see the proportion of each review type being received by the two bodies. For information on when the IOPC should be the review body, please see our [Statutory Guidance](#)

Non-investigation reviews received	LPB	IOPC
Force	47	3
SPLY	34	0
MSF Average	59	28
National	2,222	869




Investigation reviews received	LPB	IOPC
Force	1	0
SPLY	0	0
MSF Average	6	13
National	145	418



Complaints are not finalised until after any reviews are completed. However, this measure helps to provide an indication of how often reviews are made in comparison to the number of complaints completed.

	Reviews received	Schedule 3 complaints finalised
Force	51	208
SPLY	34	179
MSF Average	106	464
National	3,654	17,058



	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Average number of working days to complete Local Policing Body reviews	6	8	18	46
Average number of working days to complete IOPC reviews	107	207	134	139



Section C2: Outcomes on reviews

This section presents information about the decisions made on reviews including the proportion of reviews that found the outcome of the complaint was not reasonable and proportionate leading to the review being upheld.

Investigation reviews (YTD)▲	Completed investigation (IOPC)	Upheld investigation (IOPC)	Completed investigation (LPB)	Upheld investigation (LPB)
Force	2	2	1	
SPLY	0	0	0	
MSF Average	15	6	6	9
National	595	160	147	47

Non-investigation reviews (YTD)▲	Completed non-investigation (IOPC)	Upheld non-investigation (IOPC)	Completed non-investigation (LPB)	Upheld non-investigation (LPB)
Force	2	2	44	12
SPLY	1	0	31	11
MSF Average	29	9	56	12
National	949	244	1,971	392


% IOPC reviews upheld - Force

● Investigation ● Non-investigation



% LPB Reviews upheld - Force

● Investigation ● Non-investigation






Section D1: Complaint cases finalised under Schedule 3 - timeliness

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled formally under Schedule 3. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made. On cases under Schedule 3, the below figures include the time a case may spend suspended due to criminal matters.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the [IOPC website](#) performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates. For more information on the proportion of complaints handled under each method (along with outside if Schedule 3) please see page 14

Average working days to finalise (Year to date)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	360	97	322	326
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	167	300	213	256
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	121	112	120	134
Total	126	124	144	155

Number finalised (Year to date)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	196	167	376	14,328
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	9	11	81	2,409
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	3	1	7	321
Total	208	179	464	17,058






Section D2: Complaint cases finalised outside of Schedule 3 - timeliness

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled handled formally under Schedule 3. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made. On cases under Schedule 3, the below figures include the time a case may spend suspended due to criminal matters.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the [IOPC website](#) performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates.




Section D3: How complaint cases handled

This section shows the proportion of complaint cases handled by the force, most similar for group and nationally under each method of handling. The table covers data recorded in the period as per 'Reporting Period' above, while the below chart concerns the force only using the last four quarters of available data.

.	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised
Outside of Schedule 3	753	78%	926	84%	1,517	77%	34,375	67%
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	196	20%	167	15%	376	19%	14,328	28%
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	9	1%	11	1%	81	4%	2,411	5%
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	3	0%	1	0%	7	0%	321	1%
Total	961	100%	1,105	100%	1,980	100%	51,435	100%

Force: percent of complaint cases finalised by handling method



Section E: Referrals

The figures presented in this section include all referrals that the force makes to the IOPC (received), not just those arising from complaints.

When the IOPC receives a referral from the force, it reviews the information they have provided. The IOPC decides whether the matter requires an investigation, and the type of investigation.

Referrals may have been completed in a different period to when they were received.


Where a referral is made by the force on a mandatory basis but does not meet the mandatory referral criteria, the matter may not fall within the IOPC’s remit to assess and will be determined invalid.

The sum of decisions may not match the number of referrals completed. This is because some matters referred may have come to the attention of the appropriate authority before 1 February 2020 and have investigation type decisions of either managed or supervised.

Year to date

	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Number referrals received	78	72	101	3,397
Number referrals completed	78	78	102	3,401
Decision: Independent Investigation	3	5	6	189
Decision: Directed Investigation	0	0	0	12
Decision: Local Investigation	27	34	43	1,702
Decision: Return to Force	48	37	53	1,448
Decision: Invalid	0	2	0	49

Force and MSF Group referrals received





Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Cleveland, Greater Manchester, Humberside, Merseyside, Northumbria, West Yorkshire

Notes

Data sources

- Data in this bulletin is taken from XML data submissions made by forces to the IOPC every quarter except for IOPC performance data which is taken from the IOPC case management system.
- Police force employee numbers are taken from the latest [police workforce England and Wales statistics](#) published by the Home Office.
- The most similar force (MSF) groups used for the calculation of the MSF averages in this bulletin are those determined by HMICFRS. Please note that the British Transport Police and City of London Police do not have an MSF group. A list of the MSF groups is available on the [IOPC website](#).
- Figures for City of London include complaint cases logged in relation to ‘Action Fraud’. Action Fraud is the UK’s national reporting centre for fraud and cybercrime. The service is run by the City of London Police, which is the national policing lead for economic crime.

Performance Framework counting rules and calculation

- The counting rules and calculations used to produce the data shown in this bulletin can be found on the [IOPC website](#).
- Average times are presented in working days and do not include weekends or bank holidays.
- Some percentages may add up to more or less than 100% due to rounding.
- Complaint cases and allegations with invalid start/end dates have been removed from average time calculations. Therefore, the numbers of complaint cases and allegations used in the average time calculations may be lower than the total number of complaint cases and allegations logged or finalised.

Data constraints

- The data is sourced from live case management systems and provides a snapshot of information as it was at that time. Therefore, there may be variances between the information in this bulletin and information reported at a later date.

Further Information

- A glossary providing a full list of definitions used in this bulletin, can be found on the [IOPC website](#).
- Additional information about the recording of police complaints, including the definitions of the complaint categories, can be found in appendix A of the IOPC’s [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#).
- Information about how the police complaints system operates, who can complain and how reviews are dealt with can be found in the IOPC’s [Statutory Guidance on the police complaints system \(February 2020\)](#).