### **Appropriate Authority: West Midlands**

### Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Greater Manchester, Merseyside, West Midlands, West Yorkshire

### **About this bulletin**

This bulletin presents information about complaints defined under the Police Reform Act 2002), as amended by the Police and Crime Act 2017. The legislation came into effect on 1 February 2020 (4 January 2021 for the British Transport Police). It sets out performance against a number of measures and compares force (MSF) group and with the overall result for all forces (national).

### **Contents**

Section A1:1: Complaint cases logged and initial handling Section A1.2: Allegations logged – what has been complained about Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about – top five allegation categories and their subcategories Section A1.4: Allegations logged – what has been complained about (category) and the situational context of allegations (fac

Section A2: Allegations timeliness

Section A3.1: How allegations were finalised and their decisions Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (category)

Section A4: Complaint cases timeliness

Section B (removed)

Section C: Referrals

Section D1: Reviews received

Section D2: Reviews timeliness

Section D3: Decisions on LPB reviews

Section D4: Decisions on IOPC reviews

Section E1.1: Allegation actions – on complaint cases handled outside of Schedule 3 Section E1.2: Allegation actions – on complaint cases handled under Schedule 3

Notes



		_
	Acronyms used in this bulletin	
	Force – year to date force numbers	
5	<b>IOPC</b> – Independent Office for Police Conduct	
ictors)	Inc. – including	
	Ind – independent investigation	
	Loc – local investigation	
	LPB – local policing body	
	MSF – most similar force	
	Nat. – national	
	No. – number	
	PRA – the Police Reform Act 2002	
	RPRP – reflective practice review process	
	SPLY - Same period last year	
	<b>UPP</b> – unsatisfactory performance procedure	

Appropriate Authority: West Midlands

### Section A1.1: Complaint cases logged and initial handling

This section presents information relating to the force's contact with customers and their initial handling of the complaint cases. It shows how long, on average, it takes from the customer's perspective from when they made the complaint and the force's performance of the initial handling from when it received the complaint.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from timeliness measures. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the <u>IOPC website</u> for explanations of customer perspective, initial handling and invalid dates.

### **To contact complainants**

Average number of working days	Customer perspective	Initial handling performance
Force	5	5
SPLY	47	47
MSF Average	6	5
National	5	4

### **To log complaint cases**

Average number of working days	Customer perspective	Initial handling performance
Force	1	1
SPLY	2	1
MSF Average	4	3
National	5	4

Please note: average times presented in the above tables are rounded to the nearest whole number. In comparison, the charts present the exact result.

### **Complaint cases logged**

Complaint cases logged


No. of complaint cases logged per 1,000 employees

### Reasons complaint cases are recorded under Schedule 3 of the PRA 2002

The below table presents the number of complaint cases handled under Schedule 3 of the PRA 2002 (formal handling) and the reasons why.

### **Reason complaint case recorded under Schedule**

AA/body responsible for initial handling decides Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded Dissatisfaction after initial handling Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint



Force	SPLY	<b>MSF Average</b>	National
3,635	4,418	2,986	81,142
296	370	296	329

	Force		e SPLY			erage	National		
e 3	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
	182	19 %	122	16 %	294	27 %	13,120	41 %	
	98	10 %	131	18 %	120	22 %	7,333	23 %	
	233	24 %	272	37 %	218	23 %	4,849	15 %	
	440	46 %	217	29 %	278	28 %	6,318	20 %	

Independent Office for

Appropriate Authority: West Midlands

### Section A1.2: Allegations logged - what has been complained about


This section presents information that shows what people complain about. Allegation categories capture the root of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category is selected for each allegation logged.

Please refer to our <u>Guidance on capturing data about police complaints</u> for guidance on logging allegations and complaint category definitions.

Due to some allegations not having a recorded category, the totals differ in the two tables.

**Handling of Delivery of** Police duties and powers, damage t policies and service property procedures premises 122 Force 3,063 756 SPLY 156 840 3,499 MSF Average 812 144 2,239 National 27,072 3,983 73,646 63 % 16 % 3 % Force SPLY 63 % 15 % 3 % MSF Average 21 % 55 % 4 % National 20 % 3 % 55 %





### Reporting Period: 01 April 2022 - 31 March 2023 (Q4 2022/23)

**Allegations logged** 

Allegations Logged No. of allegations logged per 1,000 er

of or to ty/	Access and/or disclosure of information	vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
	92	17	161	47	510	11	33	34	4,846
	101	57	172	61	581	7	25	84	5,583
	88	38	152	39	410	10	32	60	4,023
3	2,790	1,436	4,062	1,676	17,353	293	743	1,855	134,909
	2 %	0 %	3 %	1 %	11 %	0 %	1 %	1 %	100 %
	2 %	1 %	3 %	1 %	10 %	0 %	0 %	2 %	100 %
	2 %	1 %	4 %	1 %	10 %	0 %	1 %	2 %	100 %
	2 %	1 %	3 %	1 %	13 %	0 %	1 %	1 %	100 %

			 				<ul> <li>Force</li> <li>SPLY</li> </ul>
			 				<ul> <li>MSF Average</li> <li>National</li> </ul>
s r e of ion	Use of police vehicles	Discrimina behaviour	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discredita conduct	Other	

Independent Office for Police Conduct

	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
	4,846	5,583	4,023	134,952
employees	394	468	390	547

### Appropriate Authority: West Midlands Reporting Period: 01 April 2022 - 31 March 2023 (Q4 2022/23) Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about – top five allegation categories and their subcategories

Category ▲	Subcategory
Delivery of duties and service	Total
	Police action following contact
	Decisions
	General level of service
	Information
Police powers, policies and	Total
procedures	Stops, and stop and search
	Searches of premises and seizure of pr
	Power to arrest and detain
	Detention in police custody
	Bail, identification and interview proced
	Use of force
	Evidential procedures
	Out of court disposals
	Other policies and procedures
	Use of police systems
	Impolite and intolerant actions
Handling of or damage to	Use of force and detention in custody Total
property/ premises	Handling of or damage to property/ prer
	Delivery of duties and service
Discriminatory behaviour	Total
	Age
	Disability
	Gender reassignment
	Marriage and civil partnership
	Pregnancy and maternity
	Race
	Religion or belief
	Sex
	Sexual orientation
	Other
Individual behaviours	Total
	Unprofessional attitude and disrespect
	Lack of fairness and impartiality
	Overbearing or harassing behaviours
	Impolite language / tone
	Impolite and intolerant actions

	Fore	ce	SPL	Y	MSF A	verage	Natio	onal
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
	3,063	63 %	3,499	63 %	2,239	55 %	73,645	55 %
	2,401	78 %	2,579	74 %	1,523	64 %	32,666	44 %
	241	8 %	263	8 %	176	8 %	9,307	13 %
	334	11 %	543	16 %	439	23 %	24,653	33 %
	87	3 %	114	3 %	101	5 %	7,019	10 %
	756	16 %	840	15 %	812	21 %	27,071	20 %
	42	6 %	50	6 %	58	7 %	1,578	6 %
roperty	125	17 %	133	16 %	107	13 %	3,569	13 %
	109	14 %	137	16 %	116	14 %	4,388	16 %
	101	13 %	101	12 %	102	12 %	3,674	14 %
dures	17	2 %	10	1 %	20	2 %	1,115	4 %
	249	33 %	272	32 %	254	31 %	7,280	27 %
	29	4 %	42	5 %	60	8 %	1,967	7 %
	1	0 %	12	1 %	3	0 %	430	2 %
	83	11 %	83	10 %	92	12 %	3,069	11 %
	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
	122	3 %	156	3 %	144	4 %	3,759	3 %
emises	122	100 %	156	100 %	144	100 %	3,759	94 %
	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
	161	3 %	172	3 %	152	4 %	4,062	3 %
	2	1 %	3	2 %	3	1 %	42	1 %
	21	13 %	13	8 %	24	17 %	680	17 %
	0	0 %	1	1 %	1	1 %	42	1 %
	0	0 %	1	1 %	0	0 %	5	0 %
	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	3	0 %
	110	68 %	119	69 %	95	61 %	2,266	56 %
	4	2 %	11	6 %	6	4 %	98	2 %
	9	6 %	10	6 %	15	11 %	513	13 %
	4	2 %	6	3 %	2	1 %	112	3 %
	11	7 %	8	5 %	7	5 %	301	7 %
	510	11 %	581	10 %	410	10 %	17,351	13 %
	142	28 %	208	36 %	127	31 %	5,020	29 %
	48	9 %	49	8 %	41	10 %	2,638	15 %
	58	11 %	74	13 %	63	16 %	3,089	18 %
	211	41 %	206	35 %	133	31 %	4,517	26 %
	51	10 %	44	8 %	47	12 %	2,087	12 %



Independent Office for Police Conduct

This section presents the five most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged.

A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and sub-category, where available) is selected for each allegation logged.

Total % is of the total number of allegations logged.

Where the subcategory is 'None', this has been excluded from this table. For full counts please see section A1.2

Appropriate Authority: West Midlands

### Section A1.4: Allegations logged – What has been complained about (category) and the situational context of complaints (factors)

	Allegation category											
Factors ▲	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours		Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Arrest	195	282	27	8	0	22	7	47	3	7	1	599
Call Handling	270	3	0	4	0	9	0	110	0	1	2	399
Child protection / CSA / CSE	76	27	2	7	0	5	1	19	0	2	0	139
Coronavirus – police powers on restricti	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Covert policing	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Custody	70	164	11	7	0	11	3	26	5	3	1	301
Death	29	6	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	38
Domestic / gender abuse	156	46	4	9	0	5	5	31	3	3	1	263
Drugs / alcohol	53	56	10	0	0	3	1	10	3	4	0	140
Firearms	13	16	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	34
Fraud	25	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	31
Hate Crime	39	3	0	0	0	19	1	4	0	0	0	66
Investigation	1,837	246	78	53	1	85	28	234	2	11	4	2,579
Mental health	159	87	9	8	0	14	7	40	1	1	4	330
Missing persons	30	3	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	37
Neighbourhood policing	180	34	8	2	0	12	3	39	0	1	1	280
None	131	15	7	11	2	5	5	17	0	3	21	217
Police dogs or horses	3	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	12
Premises search	55	73	18	1	0	1	1	9	0	2	0	160
Public order incident	41	13	0	1	0	2	1	8	0	0	0	66
Restraint equipment	6	25	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	35
Roads/traffic	241	65	7	3	13	12	1	43	1	2	2	390
Serious injury	17	13	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	35
Social media	34	1	0	2	0	2	0	6	0	4	0	49
Stop and/or search	25	57	4	0	0	8	1	11	1	0	0	107
Taser	1	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Unknown	31	1	0	0	0	13	0	1	0	0	0	46
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	264	40	0	7	0	9	2	36	0	0	0	358
VAWG - police perpetrated	2	13	0	3	0	2	3	4	2	1	0	30
VAWG - police victim	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6

This section presents information that shows what people are complaining about using a combination of allegation categories and factors. Categories capture the root of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. Factors capture the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. The combination of categories and factors provides a richer picture of what people are complaining about compared to the categories alone.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category. Please refer to our <u>Guidance on capturing data about police complaints</u> for definitions of categories and factors.

Allowetion optonomy




Appropriate Authority: West Midlands

### **Section A2: Allegations timeliness**

Average number of working days to	Force	SPLY	MSF	National
finalise allegations ▲			Average	
Outside of Schedule 3	26	53	13	16
Under Schedule 3 - not subject to investigation	54	119	104	98
Under Schedule 3 - by local investigation	172	220	213	159
Under Schedule 3 - by directed investigation	0	0	0	447
Under Schedule 3 - by independent investigation	374	266	195	292
400	Avera	nge nun	nber of v	vorking o
300 300				
Norking Norking Norking				
100 0 Outside of Schedule 3		to inve	le 3 - not su stigation <b>mber of</b>	-
140				
120				
sp 100				
р 80 				
80 80				
<b>4</b> 0				
20				
0 Q1 22/23	Q	2 22/23		

This section presents the time it takes the force to finalise allegations by how they were handled. It gives a breakdown of allegations handled informally outside of Schedule 3 and those that were handled formally by either by investigation or handled other than by investigation. Timeliness is calculated from the date the allegation was received by the force.

Allegations with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the **IOPC** website for an explanation of invalid dates.





Appropriate Authority: West Midlands

### **Section A3.1: How allegations were handled and their decisions**

This section presents a breakdown of how allegations were handled information and the decisions being given. An allegation decision is logged for each allegation finalised. The allegation decision reflects how the complaint case has been handled, with different means of handling. Both the allegation decisions and the subsequent actions available will depend on two things: firstly, whether the complaint case has been handled outside or under Schedule 3; and secondly, the means of handling where it has been dealt with under Schedule 3. Please refer to our <u>Guidance on capturing data about police complaints</u> for details of allegation decisions.

### How allegations were handled

Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special proced

Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures

Under Schedule 3 - not investigated

Outside of Schedule 3

Total

Please note that figures presented in these tables may be under-representing the number of allegations finalised under Schedule 3 and investigated (not subject to special procedures), instead grouping some of these allegations as finalised under Schedule 3 and not investigated. This is because police forces were not able to consistently and accurately collect this data on their systems until the implementation of an upgrade from November 2020.

How allegations were handled	Out	side of S	Schedu	le 3	Und	ler Sche investi		- not		Chedule Subject to proced	o specia		invest	Jnder Sc igated ( becial pr	not subj	ject to
Allegation decision	Force	Force	Nat.	Nat.	Force	Force	Nat.	Nat.	Force	Force	Nat.	Nat.	Force	Force	Nat.	Nat.
	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.
No further action					12 %	203	9 %	4,797			1 %	20	14 %	30	5 %	727
Regulation 41 applies							0 %	53							1 %	92
Service provided - unable to determine			0 %	1	7 %	113	7 %	3,776			1 %	12	3 %	7	6 %	921
Service provided - not acceptable					8 %	140	14 %	7,640			4 %	66	15 %	31	12 %	1,909
Service provided - acceptable					<mark>73 %</mark>	1267	67 %	36,437	15 %	5	21 %	321	<mark>64 %</mark>	135	74 %	11,422
Not Resolved	7 %	238	8 %	4,590												
Resolved	93 %	3349	92 %	50,931												
No Case to Answer									<mark>47</mark> %	16	47 %	736				
Case to Answer									<mark>3</mark> 5 %	12	24 %	372				
Withdrawal					1 %	9	4 %	1,988	3 %	1	2 %	34	4 %	8	3 %	458
Total	64 %	3587	44 %	55,522	31 %	1732	43 %	54,691	1 %	34	1 %	1,561	4 %	211	12 %	15,529

	Force No.	Force %	MSF Average No.	<b>MSF Average %</b>	National No.	National %
edures)	211	4 %	160	3 %	15,536	12 %
res)	34	1 %	45	1 %	1,562	1 %
	1,732	<mark>31 %</mark>	1828	41 %	54,707	43 %
	3,587	<mark>64 %</mark>	2312	55 %	55,524	44 %
	5,564	100 %	4345	100 %	127,329	100 %

Independent Office for Police Conduct 

Appropriate Authority: West Midlands

### Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (category)

This section presents information about allegations handled both informally and formally, grouped by the allegation decision and the category selected on each allegation. Each allegation has a single category selected.


		Allegation category										
Allegation decisions	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour		Individual behaviours		Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
No further action	111	59	4	10	0	16	5	13	1	7	7	233
Regulation 41 applies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Service provided - unable to determine	38	29	7	4	0	12	3	25	1	1	0	120
Service provided - not acceptable	79	37	4	9	1	8	4	27	0	1	1	171
Service provided - acceptable	574	462	47	38	0	76	15	166	7	13	9	1,407
Not Resolved	205	9	1	3	2	1	1	8	0	1	7	238
Resolved	2,609	208	80	33	21	50	14	308	1	3	22	3,349
No Case to Answer	2	12	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	16
Case to Answer	1	5	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	0	0	12
Withdrawal	3	7	1	0	0	2	2	3	0	0	0	18

OPC Independent Office for Police Conduct

Appropriate Authority: West Midlands

### **Section A4: Complaint cases finalised - timeliness**

Average number of working days to finalise complaint cases	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Outside of Schedule 3	34	67	16	19
Under Schedule 3 (inc suspension)	100	150	131	132
Under Schedule 3 (not inc suspension)	93	148	117	125



Reporting Period: 01 April 2022 - 31 March 2023 (Q4 2022/23)

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled informally and those that are handled formally under Schedule 3. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made.


Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the **<u>IOPC website</u>** performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates.

Independent Office for

Appropriate Authority: West Midlands

### **Section C: Referrals**

	Force	Force %	SPLY	MSF Average	<b>MSF Average %</b>	National	National %
Number referrals received	215		199	210		6,083	
Number referrals completed	217		199	211		6,088	
Decision: Independent Investigation	28	13%	42	23	10%	420	7%
Decision: Directed Investigation	2	1%	3	2	1%	58	1%
Decision: Local Investigation	139	64%	100	137	65%	3,852	63%
Decision: Return to Force	47	22%	52	48	23%	1,679	28%
Decision: Invalid	1	0%	2	1	0%	75	1%



The figures presented in this section include all referrals that the force makes to the IOPC (received), not just those arising from complaints. When the IOPC receives a referral from the force, it reviews the information they have provided. The IOPC decides whether the matter requires an investigation, and the type of investigation. Referrals may have been completed in a different period to when they were received. Where a referral is made by the force on a mandatory basis but does not meet the matter may not fall within the IOPC's remit to assess and will be determined invalid. The sum of decisions may not match the number of referrals completed. This is because some to the attention of the appropriate authority before 1 February 2020 and have investigation type decisions of either managed or supervised.

Reporting Period: 01 April 2022 - 31 March 2023 (Q4 2022/23)

Average number of working days to complete referrals by quarter

Independent Office for


Q4 22/23	

Appropriate Authority: West Midlands

### **Section D1: Reviews received**

	Complaint cases finalised under Schedule 3	<b>Reviews</b> <b>received</b>	Reviews received as proportion of complaint cases finalised under Schedule 3	Number LPB reviews received - investigation	Number LPB reviews received - non-investigation	Number IOPC reviews received - investigation	Number IOPC reviews received - non-investigation
Force	1,105	281	25 %	0	152	12	117
SPLY	647	164	25 %	2	123	5	34
MSF Average	967	258	30 %	3	165	22	68
National	30,521	6,357	21 %	486	3,880	803	1,188

### **Section D2: Reviews timeliness**



Where a complaint has been recorded under Schedule 3 to the Police Reform Act 2002, the complainant has a right to apply for a review. A person can apply for review if they are unhappy with the way their complaint was handled, or with the outcome. This applies whether the complaint has been investigated by the appropriate authority or handled otherwise than by investigation (non-investigation). The application for a review will be considered either by the local policing body or the IOPC; the relevant review body depends on the circumstances of the complaint. This section presents information about applications for review handled by both local policing bodies and the IOPC. Information about the right of review and who is the relevant review body is available in chapter 18 of the IOPC's Statutory Guidance on the police complaints system (February 2020). Reviews with 'invalid dates' have been removed from reviews timeliness. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the IOPC website for an explanation of invalid dates.

Independent Office for Police Conduc 

<b>MSF Average</b>	National
67	64
126	133

Appropriate Authority: West Midlands

### **Section D3: Decisions on LPB reviews**

This section presents information about the decisions made on reviews that found the outcome of the complaint was not reasonable and proportionate, those which resulted in a recommendation and, if necessary, a direction to the appropriate authority in respect of any person serving with the police. Please note: Numbers are not available for the MSF Average - % only

		Investigation			Non-investigation	
-	Valid completed	Found not reasonable	% found not reasonable	Valid completed	Found not reasonable	% found not reasonable
<ul> <li></li> </ul>	reviews	and proportionate	and proportionate	reviews	and proportionate	and proportionate
orce	0		0	195	33	17
PLY	3		0	88	22	25
ISF Average			17			20
lational	574	156	27	4,093	777	19
Force				16.9		
SPLY						25.0
- A				16.7		25.0
F Average					19.8	
National				19	0	27.2
0	5	10	15		20	25



### LPB reviews resulting in recommendations

		Investigation		Non-investigation				
•	Found not reasonable and proportionate	Resulting in recommendations	% resulting in recommendations	Found not reasonable and proportionate	Resulting in recommendations	% resulting in recommendations		
Force			0	33	28	85		
SPLY			0	22	12	55		
MSF Average			38			86		
National	156	144	92	777	695	89		



Appropriate Authority: West Midlands

### **Section D4: Decisions on IOPC reviews**

This section presents information about the decisions made on reviews that found the outcome of the complaint was not reasonable and proportionate, those which resulted in a recommendation and, if necessary, a direction to the appropriate authority in respect of any person serving with the police.

### **IOPC** reviews found not reasonable and proportionate

Investigation	Valid completed reviews	Outcome found not reasonable and proportionate
Force	5	1
MSF Average	19	7
National	551	178


Non- investigation	Valid completed reviews	Outcome found not reasonable and proportionate
Force	107	72
MSF Average	62	35
National	949	421

### **IOPC** review recommendations and directions

Investigation	Outcome found not reasonable and proportionate	Recommendations made	<b>Directions made</b>	% resulting in direction	
Force	1	0	1	100	
MSF Average	7	1	4		Perce
National	178	15	111	63	

Non- investigation	Outcome found not reasonable and proportionate	<b>Directions made</b>	% resulting in direction			
Force	72	63	88			
MSF Average	35	30		Per		
National	421	318	75			

Note: Number of IOPC reviews in these tables is a new measure, therefore Same Period Last Year is not available.



MSF average in this chart is calculated as an average of each force's % found not reasonable and proportionate and is not a calculation of figures in the left hand table.



centages not available for MSF group average

ercentages not available for MSF group average

Appropriate Authority: West Midlands

### **Section E1.1: Allegation actions - on complaint cases handled outside of Schedule 3**

	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Actions following outside of Schedule 3 complaint	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
cases ▲								
Organisational learning	2	0 %	4	0 %	4	0 %	173	0 %
Learning from reflection	43	1 %	44	1 %	29	1 %	1064	2 %
Policy review	1	0 %	1	0 %	2	0 %	35	0 %
Goodwill gesture	6	0 %	3	0 %	7	0 %	150	0 %
Apology	151	5 %	79	2 %	143	7 %	4546	9 %
Debrief	5	0 %	8	0 %	27	1 %	433	1 %
Explanation	770	23 %	406	11 %	1014	48 %	27778	58 %
No further action	1908	58 %	2995	84 %	664	26 %	9943	21 %
Other action	415	13 %	54	2 %	274	15 %	3781	8 %

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations on finalised complaint cases that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our Guidance on capturing data about police complaints for details of actions available.

Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

Independent Office for Police Conduc 

Appropriate Authority: West Midlands

### Section E1.2: Allegation actions - on complaint cases handled under Schedule 3

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations on finalised complaint cases that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our Guidance on capturing data about police complaints for details of actions available. Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

### All complaint cases handled under Schedule 3

	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Complaint cases resulting in below actions	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Organisational learning	2	0 %	3	0 %	4	0 %	547	2 %
Apology	7	1 %	7	1 %	38	4 %	1876	6 %
Debrief	1	0 %	1	0 %	41	3 %	343	1 %
Explanation	65	6 %	54	8 %	408	42 %	14021	46 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	1	0 %	2	0 %	0	0 %	18	0 %
No further action	924	84 %	547	85 %	431	45 %	12107	40 %
Other action	14	1 %	2	0 %	15	2 %	567	2 %
_earning from reflection	103	9 %	37	6 %	67	9 %	3415	11 %
Referral to RPRP	21	2 %	12	2 %	9	1 %	998	3 %

### Misconduct, UPP and RPRP on complaints investigated under Schedule 3 (subject to Special Procedures)

Complaint cases handled under Schedule 3 that are investigated and subject to Special Procedures can result in misconduct proceedings

	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Complaint cases resulting in below actions	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Misconduct proceedings	1	10 %	3	25 %	4	30 %	113	24 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	1	10 %	0	0 %	0	3 %	6	1 %
Other actions following a case to answer decision	1	10 %	0	0 %	1	12 %	24	5 %
Referral to RPRP	2	20 %	3	25 %	3	14 %	113	24 %



Appropriate Authority: West Midlands

### Notes

### Data sources

Data in this bulletin is taken from XML data submissions made by forces to the IOPC every quarter except for IOPC performance data which is taken from the IOPC case management system.

Police force employee numbers are taken from the latest police workforce England and Wales statistics published by the Home Office. - The most similar force (MSF) groups used for the calculation of the MSF averages in this bulletin are those determined by HMICFRS. Please note that the British Transport Police and City of London Police do not have an MSF group. A list of the MSF groups is available on the IOPC website.

- Figures for City of London include complaint cases logged in relation to 'Action Fraud'. Action Fraud'. Action Fraud'. Action Fraud'. Action Fraud'. by the City of London Police, which is the national policing lead for economic crime.

### Performance Framework counting rules and calculation

- The counting rules and calculations used to produce the data shown in this bulletin can be found on the IOPC website.

- Average times are presented in working days and do not include weekends or bank holidays.

Some percentages may add up to more or less than 100% due to rounding.

Complaint cases and allegations with invalid start/end dates have been removed from average time calculations. Therefore, the numbers of complaint cases and allegations used in the average time calculations may be lower than the total number of complaint cases and allegations logged or finalised.

### Data constraints

- The data is sourced from live case management systems and provides a snapshot of information as it was at that time. Therefore, there may be variances between the information in this bulletin and information reported at a later date.

### **Further Information**

- A glossary providing a full list of definitions used in this bulletin, can be found on the <u>IOPC website</u>.

- Additional information about the recording of police complaints, including the definitions of the complaint categories, can be found in appendix A of the IOPC's Guidance on capturing data about police complaints.

Information about how the police complaints system operates, who can complain and how reviews are dealt with can be found in the IOPC's Statutory Guidance on the police <u>complaints system (February 2020)</u>.